

2016

On Some Real Valued I-Convergent L-Summable Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by Sequences of Orlicz Functions

Ayhan Esi

Adiyaman University, Science and Arts Faculty, Department of Mathematics, TR-02040, Adiyaman, Turkey, aesi23@hotmail.com

M. Kemal Ozdemir

Inonu University, Science and Arts Faculty, Department of Mathematics, TR-44280, Malatya, Turkey, aesi23@hotmail.com

Ayten Esi

Adiyaman University, Science and Arts Faculty, Department of Mathematics, TR-02040, Adiyaman, Turkey, aesi23@hotmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.aaru.edu.jo/isl>

Recommended Citation

Esi, Ayhan; Kemal Ozdemir, M.; and Esi, Ayten (2016) "On Some Real Valued I-Convergent L-Summable Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by Sequences of Orlicz Functions," *Information Sciences Letters*: Vol. 5 : Iss. 2 , Article 2.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.aaru.edu.jo/isl/vol5/iss2/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Arab Journals Platform. It has been accepted for inclusion in Information Sciences Letters by an authorized editor. The journal is hosted on Digital Commons, an Elsevier platform. For more information, please contact rakan@aarj.edu.jo, marah@aarj.edu.jo, u.murad@aarj.edu.jo.

On Some Real Valued I -Convergent Λ -Summable Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by Sequences of Orlicz Functions

Ayhan Esi^{1,*}, M. Kemal Özdemir² and Ayten Esi¹

¹ Adiyaman University, Science and Arts Faculty, Department of Mathematics, TR-02040, Adiyaman, Turkey

² Inonu University, Science and Arts Faculty, Department of Mathematics, TR-44280, Malatya, Turkey

Received: 12 Mar. 2016, Revised: 20 Apr. 2016, Accepted: 29 Apr. 2016

Published online: 1 May 2016

Abstract: In this article we introduce some new difference sequence spaces using I -convergent and Orlicz function, and study some basic topological and algebraic properties of these spaces. Also we investigate the relations between these spaces.

Keywords: Ideal, I -convergent, Orlicz function, difference sequence

1 Introduction

The notion of I -convergence initially introduced by Kostyrko, Salat and Wilczynski [1]. Later on, it was further investigated from the sequence space point of view and linked with the summability theory by Salat, Tripathy and Ziman [2,3], Tripathy and Hazarika [4,5,6] and Kumar and Kumar [7], Aiyub [26], Khan et.al [27] and many others authors.

Let X be a non-empty set, then a family of sets $I \subset 2^X$ (the class of all subsets of X) is called an *ideal* if and only if for each $A, B \in I$, we have $A \cup B \in I$ and for each $A \in I$ and each $B \subset A$, we have $B \in I$. A non-empty family of sets $F \subset 2^X$ is a *filter* on X if and only if $\emptyset \notin F$, for each $A, B \in F$, we have $A \cap B \in F$ and each $A \in F$ and each $A \subset B$, we have $B \in F$. An ideal I is called *non-trivial ideal* if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $X \notin I$. Clearly $I \subset 2^X$ is a non-trivial ideal if and only if $F = F(I) = \{X - A : A \in I\}$ is a filter on X . A non-trivial ideal $I \subset 2^X$ is called *admissible* if and only if $\{\{x\} : x \in X\} \subset I$. A non-trivial ideal I is *maximal* if there cannot exist any non-trivial ideal $J \neq I$ containing I as a subset. Further details on ideals of 2^X can be found in Kostyrko, et.al [1].

Lemma 1.1. ([1, Lemma 5.1]) If $I \subset 2^N$ is a maximal ideal, then for each $A \subset N$ we have either $A \in I$ or $N - A \in I$.

Example 1.2. If we take $I = I_f = \{A \subseteq N : A \text{ is a finite subset}\}$. Then I_f is a non-trivial admissible ideal of N and

the corresponding convergence coincide with the usual convergence.

Example 1.3. If we take $I = I_\delta = \{A \subseteq N : \delta(A) = 0\}$ where $\delta(A)$ denote the asymptotic density of the set A . Then I_δ is a non-trivial admissible ideal of N and the corresponding convergence coincide with the statistical convergence.

Kizmaz [8] defined the difference sequence spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$ as follows: For $Z = \ell_\infty, c$ and c_0

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in \Delta Z\},$$

where $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+1})$, for all $k \in N$. The above spaces are Banach spaces, normed by

$$\|x\| = |x_1| + \sup_k \|\Delta x_k\|.$$

The idea of Kizmaz [8] was applied to introduce different type of difference sequence spaces and study their different properties by Tripathy ([9,10]), Et and Esi [11] and many others.

Recall in [12] that an *Orlicz function* M is continuous, convex, nondecreasing function is defined for $x > 0$ such that $M(0) = 0$ and $M(x) > 0$. If convexity of Orlicz function is replaced by $M(x+y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$ then this function is called the *modulus function* and characterized by Ruckle [13]. An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy

* Corresponding author e-mail: asesi23@hotmail.com

Δ_2 -condition for all values of u , if there exists $K > 0$ such that $M(2u) \leq KM(u)$, $u \geq 0$.

Lemma 1.4. Let M be an Orlicz function which satisfies Δ_2 -condition and let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then for each $t \geq \delta$, we have $M(t) < K\delta^{-1}tM(2)$ for some constant $K > 0$.

Two Orlicz functions M_1 and M_2 are said to be *equivalent* if there exist positive constants α, β and x_0 such that

$$M_1(\alpha) \leq M_2(x) \leq M_1(\beta)$$

for all x with $0 \leq x < x_0$.

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [14] studied some Orlicz type sequence spaces defined as follows:

$$\ell_M = \left\{ (x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The space ℓ_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an *Orlicz sequence space*. The space ℓ_M is closely related to the space ℓ_p which is an Orlicz sequence space with $M(t) = |t|^p$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

In the later stage, different classes of Orlicz sequence spaces were introduced and studied by Parashar and Choudhary [15], Esi and Et [16], Et, Altin, Choudhary and Tripathy [17], Altinok, Altin and Isik [18], Gungor, Et and Altin [19], Hazarika et.al [24], Hazarika and Esi [25], Esi and Ozdemir [28] and many others.

Throughout the article N and R denote the set of positive integers and set of real numbers, respectively. The zero sequence is denoted by θ .

A sequence space E is said to be *solid (or normal)* if $(y_k) \in E$ whenever $(x_k) \in E$ and $|y_k| \leq |x_k|$ for all $k \in N$.

Lemma 1.5. ([20, page 53]) A sequence space E is normal implies E is monotone.

Let $n \in N$ and X^n be a real vector space of dimension n . A real-valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on X^n satisfying the following four conditions:

(i) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent,

(ii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under permutation,

(iii) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$, $\alpha \in R$,

(iv) $\|x_1 + x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is called an *n-norm* on X^n , and the pair $(X^n, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called an *n-normed space* [21].

A trivial example of n -normed space is $X^n = R^n$ equipped with the following Euclidean n -norm:

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = \text{abs} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \dots x_{1n} \\ \dots \\ x_{n1} \dots x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in}) \in R^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 1.6. Every n -normed space is an $(n-r)$ -normed space for all $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. In particular every n -normed space is a normed space.

Lemma 1.7. A standard n -normed space is complete if and only if it is complete with respect to usual norm $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$.

Lemma 1.8. On a standard n -normed space X , the derived from $(n-1)$ -norm $\|\dots, \dots, \cdot\|_{\infty}$ defined with respect to the orthogonal set $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is equivalent to the standard $(n-1)$ -norm $\|\dots, \dots, \cdot\|_S$. To be precise, for all $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_{\infty} &\leq \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_S \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, e_i\|_S\}.$$

Some counter examples for n -normed spaces can be found in Dutta et.al [29].

2 Some New Sequence Spaces

The following well-known inequality will be used throughout the article. Let $p = (p_k)$ be any sequence of positive real numbers with $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = G$, $D = \max\{1, 2^{G-1}\}$ then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D(|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k})$$

for all $k \in N$ and $a_k, b_k \in C$. Also $|a_k|^{p_k} \leq \max\{1, |a_k|^G\}$ for all $a_k \in C$.

The main aim of this article is to introduce the following sequence spaces and examine topological and algebraic properties of the resulting sequence spaces.

In paper [22], Mursaleen and Noman introduced the notion of λ -convergent and λ -bounded sequences as follows: Let $\lambda = (\lambda_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity, that is

$$0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots \text{ and } \lambda_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and let

$$\Lambda_k(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sum_{m=1}^k (\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}) x_m.$$

Let I be an admissible ideal of N and let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers for all $k \in N$. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ be a sequence of Orlicz functions and $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed space. Further $w(n-X)$ denotes X -valued sequence space, we define the following sequence spaces as follows:



$$c^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$$

$$= \left\{ (x_k) \in w(n-X) : \left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x) - L}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I, \right.$$

$$\left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } L \text{ and for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$c^I_o(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$$

$$= \left\{ (x_k) \in w(n-X) : \left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I, \right.$$

$$\left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$\ell^I_\infty(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$$

$$= \left\{ (x_k) \in w(n-X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ such that } \left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K \right\} \in I, \right.$$

$$\left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}$$

and

$$\ell_\infty(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$$

$$= \left\{ (x_k) \in w(n-X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ such that } \sup_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq K, \right.$$

$$\left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

3 Main Results

In this section we examine the basic topological and algebraic properties of these spaces and obtain the inclusion relation between these spaces.

Theorem 3.1. If $\{\Lambda_k(\Delta x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$ is a linearly dependent set in $(X, \|\dots, \dots\|)$ for all but finite k , where $x = (x_k) \in w(n-X)$ and $\inf_k p_k > 0$, then

(a) $I\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0$, for some $\rho > 0$,

(b) $\sup_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty$, for some $\rho > 0$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that $\{\Lambda_k(\Delta x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$ is a linearly dependent set in $(X, \|\dots, \dots\|)$ for all but finite k . Then we have

$$\|\Lambda_k(\Delta x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since M_k is continuous for all k and $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = G < \infty$ for each k , then we have

$$I\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0,$$

for some $\rho > 0$.

(b) The proof of this part is similar to part (a).

Theorem 3.2. $c^I_o(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$, $c^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ and $\ell^I_\infty(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ are linear spaces.

Proof. We will prove the result for the space $c^I_o(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ only, and the others can be proved in similar way. Let $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ be two elements in $c^I_o(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$. Then for every $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ there exist $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$A_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} = \left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I$$

and

$$B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} = \left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta y)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I.$$

Let α, β be two scalars. Since $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ is a sequence of continuous functions, the following inequality holds:

$$\left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta(\alpha x + \beta y))}{|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq D \frac{|\alpha|\rho_1}{|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2} \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta(x))}{\rho_1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$+ D \frac{|\beta|\rho_2}{|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2} \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta(y))}{\rho_2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq DK \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta(x))}{\rho_1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$+ DK \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta(y))}{\rho_2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k},$$

where $K = \max \left\{ 1, \left(\frac{|\alpha|\rho_1}{|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2} \right)^G, \left(\frac{|\beta|\rho_2}{|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2} \right)^G \right\}$.

From the above relation we obtain the following:

$$\left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta(\alpha x + \beta y))}{|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq$$

$$\left\{ k \in N : DK \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta(x))}{\rho_1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}$$

$$\cup \left\{ k \in N : DK \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta(y))}{\rho_2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3.3. It is easy to verify that the space $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ is a linear space.

The proof of the following theorem is similar to [23, Theorem 2.2], therefore we omit it.

Theorem 3.4. The space $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ is a paranormed space (not totally paranormed) with the paranorm g defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_k}{G}} : \sup_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

where $G = \max \{1, \sup p_k\}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ and $\mathbf{S} = (S_k)$ be sequences of Orlicz functions. Then the following hold:

(i) $c_o^I(\mathbf{S}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta \subseteq c_o^I(\mathbf{M} \circ \mathbf{S}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$, provided $p = (p_k)$ be such that $G_0 = \inf p_k > 0$.

(ii) $c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta \cap c_o^I(\mathbf{S}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta \subseteq c_o^I(\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{S}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$.

Proof. (i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $\max \{ \varepsilon_1^G, \varepsilon_1^{G_0} \} < \varepsilon$. Choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $0 < t < \delta$ implies that $M_k(t) < \varepsilon_1$ for each $k \in N$. Let $x = (x_k)$ be any element in $c_o^I(\mathbf{S}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$. For every $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$, put

$$A_\delta = \left\{ k \in N : \left[S_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \delta^G \right\}.$$

Then by the definition of ideal we have $A_\delta \in I$. If $k \notin A_\delta$ we have

$$\left[S_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \delta^G, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \Rightarrow S_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) < \delta, \quad (3.1)$$

Since $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ is a sequence of continuous functions, from the relation (3.1) we have

$$M_k \left(S_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) < \varepsilon_1,$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Consequently we get

$$\left[M_k \left(S_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \max \{ \varepsilon_1^G, \varepsilon_1^{G_0} \} < \varepsilon \\ \Rightarrow \left[M_k \left(S_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(S_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ \subseteq A_\delta \in I.$$

This completes the proof.

(ii) Let $x = (x_k) \in c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta \cap c_o^I(\mathbf{S}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$. Then by the following inequality

the result follows:

$$\left[(M_k + S_k) \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq D \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ + D \left[S_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}.$$

The proof of the following theorems are easy and so omitted.

Theorem 3.6. Let $0 < p_k \leq q_k$ and $\left(\frac{q_k}{p_k} \right)$ is bounded, then

$$c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, q, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta \subseteq c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta.$$

Theorem 3.7. For any two sequences $p = (p_k)$ and $q = (q_k)$ of positive real numbers, then the following holds:

$$Z(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta \cap Z(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, q, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta \neq \phi,$$

for $Z = c^I, c_o^I, \ell_\infty^I$ and ℓ_∞ .

Proposition 3.8. The sequence spaces $Z(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ are normal as well as monotone for $Z = c_o^I$ and ℓ_∞^I .

Proof. We shall give the prove of the proposition for $c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ only. Let $x = (x_k) \in c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ and $y = (y_k)$ be such that $|y_k| \leq |x_k|$ for all $k \in N$. Then for given $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$B = \left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.$$

Again the set

$$E = \left\{ k \in N : \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Lambda_k(\Delta y)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq B.$$

Hence $E \in I$ and so $y = (y_k) \in c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$. Thus the space $c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ is normal. Also from the Lemma 1.5., it follows that $c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ is monotone.

In view of Lemma 1.8., we state the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. Let X be a standard n -normed space and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal set in X . Then the following holds:

- $c^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_\infty)_\Delta = c^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_{n-1})_\Delta$,
- $c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_\infty)_\Delta = c_o^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_{n-1})_\Delta$,
- $\ell_\infty^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_\infty)_\Delta = \ell_\infty^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_{n-1})_\Delta$,
- $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_\infty)_\Delta = \ell_\infty(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_{n-1})_\Delta$,

where $\|\dots, \dots\|_\infty$ is derived $(n-1)$ -norm defined with respect to the set $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\|\dots, \dots\|_{n-1}$ is the standard $(n-1)$ -norm on X .

Theorem 3.10. The spaces $c^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$ and $c^I(\mathbf{M}, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_\infty)_\Delta$ are equivalent as topological spaces.

Proof. Consider the mapping $T : c^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta \rightarrow c^I(\mathbf{M}, p, \|\dots, \dots\|_\infty)_\Delta$ defined by $T(x) = (\Lambda_k(\Delta x))$ for each $x = (x_k) \in c^I(\mathbf{M}, \Lambda, p, \|\dots, \dots\|)_\Delta$. Then clearly T is a linear homeomorphism and the proof follows.

4 Conclusion:

In this paper defined some new difference sequence spaces combining the concepts of Orlicz function and I-convergence. Further, we proved some topological and algebraic properties of resulting spaces. This notion can be used for further generalization of such spaces.

References

- [1] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, W. Wilczyński, *Real Analysis Exchange* **26(2)**, 669-686 (2000-2001).
- [2] T. Šalát, B. C. Tripathy, M. Ziman, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ* **28**, 279-286 (2004).
- [3] T. Šalát, B. C. Tripathy, M. Ziman, *Indian Jour. Pure Applied Math* **17**, 45-54 (2005).
- [4] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **11(3)**, 543-548 (2008).
- [5] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, *Math. Slovaca* **59(4)**, 485-494 (2009).
- [6] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica* **27(1)**, 149-154 (2011).
- [7] V. Kumar and K. Kumar, *Inform. Sci.* **178**, 4670-4678 (2008).
- [8] H. Kizmaz, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **24**, 169-176 (1981).
- [9] B. C. Tripathy, *Demonstratio Math.* **36(4)**, 867-872 (2003).
- [10] B. C. Tripathy, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* **2(1)**, 159-166 (2003).
- [11] M. Et and A. Esi, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. (Second Series)* **23**, 1-8 (2000).
- [12] M. A. Krasnoselski and Y. B. Rutitskii, Groningen, Netherland, (1961).
- [13] W. H. Ruckle, *Canad. J. Math.* **25**, 973-978 (1973).
- [14] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Israel J. Math.* **101**, 379-390 (1971).
- [15] S. D. Parashar and B. Choudhury, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **25(14)**, 419-428 (1994).
- [16] A. Esi and M. Et, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **31(8)**, 967-972 (2000).
- [17] M. Et, Y. Altin, B. Choudhary, B. C. Tripathy, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **9(2)**, 335-342 (2006).
- [18] H. Altinok, Y. Altin, M. Isik, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **39(1)**, 49-58 (2008).
- [19] M. Gungor, M. Et, Y. Altin, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **157(2)**, 561-571 (2004).
- [20] P. K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, Marcel Dekker, 1980.
- [21] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **27(10)**, 631-639 (2001).
- [22] M. Mursaleen and A. K. Noman, *Thai J. Math.* **8**, 311-329 (2010).
- [23] A. Esi, *Kyunpook Math. J.* **52**, 137-147 (2012).
- [24] B. Hazarika, K. Tamang, B. K. Singh, *Journal of Egyptian Mathematical Society* **22**, 413-419 (2014).
- [25] B. Hazarika and A. Esi, *Acta Scientiarum. Technology* **35(3)**, 527-537 (2013).
- [26] M. Aiyub, *Inf.Sci.Lett.* **4(3)**, 135-140 (2015).
- [27] A. V. Khan, N. Khan, Yasmeen and L. Liu, *Cogent Mathematics* **3(1)**, 1-9 (2016).
- [28] A. Esi and M. K. Ozdemir, *Math. Slovaca* **63(4)**, 829-838 (2013).
- [29] H. Dutta, B. S. Reddy, S. S. Cheng, *Applied Mathematics E-Notes* **10**, 199-209 (2010).



Ayhan Esi was born in Istanbul, Turkey, on March 5, 1965. Ayhan Esi got his B.Sc. from Inonu University in 1987 and M. Sc. and Ph.D. degree in pure mathematics from Elazig University, Turkey in 1990 and 1995, respectively. His research interests include Summability

Theory, Sequences and Series in Analysis and Functional Analysis. In 2000, Esi was appointed to Education Faculty in Gaziantep University. In 2002, Esi was appointed as the head of Department of Mathematics in Science and Art Faculty in Adiyaman of the Inonu University. In 2006, Esi joined the Department of Mathematics of Adiyaman University. He is married and has 2 children.



M. Kemal Özdemir was born in Antakya, Turkey, on March 10, 1973. M. Kemal Özdemir got his B.Sc. from Inonu University in 1994 and M. Sc. and Ph.D. degree in pure mathematics from Inonu University, Turkey in 1997 and 2003, respectively. His research interests include

Functional Analysis, Summability Theory, Fuzzy Numbers, Probabilistic metric spaces, Probabilistic normed spaces, Ideal and Statistical Convergence. In 2006, Özdemir was appointed to Science Faculty in Inonu University. He is married and has 2 children.



Ayten Esi was born in Malatya, Turkey, in 1963. She got his B.Sc. from Inonu University in 1987 and M. Sc. degree in pure and applied mathematics from Elazig University, Turkey in 2015. Her research interests include Summability Theory, Sequences and Series in

Analysis and Functional Analysis. In 2006, Esi joined the Department of Mathematics of Adiyaman University. She is married and has 2 children.