

Some Generalizations of Pochhammer’s Symbol and their Associated Families of Hypergeometric Functions and Hypergeometric Polynomials

Rekha Srivastava*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4, Canada

Received: 20 Feb. 2013, Revised: 18 Jun. 2013, Accepted: 21 Jun. 2013

Published online: 1 Nov. 2013

Abstract: In 2012, H. M. Srivastava *et al.* [37] introduced and studied a number of interesting fundamental properties and characteristics of a family of potentially useful incomplete hypergeometric functions. The definitions of these incomplete hypergeometric functions were based essentially upon some generalization of the Pochhammer symbol by mean of the incomplete gamma functions $\gamma(s, x)$ and $\Gamma(s, x)$. Our principal objective in this article is to present a systematic investigation of several further properties of these incomplete hypergeometric functions and some general classes of the incomplete hypergeometric polynomials which are associated with them. Various (known or new) special cases and consequences of the results presented in this article are considered. Several other generalizations of the Pochhammer symbol and their associated families of hypergeometric functions and hypergeometric polynomials are also briefly pointed out.

Keywords: Gamma function; Incomplete Gamma functions; Incomplete Pochhammer symbols; Incomplete hypergeometric functions and polynomials; Generating functions; Lagrange expansion theorem; Srivastava-Buschman generating function; Combinatorial identities; Gould’s identity; Reduction formulas; Chu-Vandermonde summation formula.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33B15, 33B20, 33C05, 33C15, 33C20; Secondary 33B99, 33C99, 60B99.

1 Introduction and Definitions

Throughout this presentation, we shall (as usual) denote by \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} the sets of real and complex numbers, respectively. In terms of the familiar (Euler’s) Gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ which is defined, for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, by

$$\Gamma(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt & (\Re(z) > 0) \\ \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (z+j)} & (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

($\mathbb{Z}_0^- := \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}$; $\mathbb{Z}^- := \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$; $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$), a generalized binomial coefficient $\binom{\lambda}{\mu}$ may be defined (for real or complex parameters λ and μ) by

$$\binom{\lambda}{\mu} := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1)\Gamma(\lambda-\mu+1)} =: \binom{\lambda}{\lambda-\mu} \quad (\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (2)$$

so that, in the special case when

$$\mu = n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}),$$

we have

$$\binom{\lambda}{n} = \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)\dots(\lambda-n+1)}{n!} = \frac{(-1)^n (-\lambda)_n}{n!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (3)$$

where $(\lambda)_\nu$ ($\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$) denotes the Pochhammer symbol given, in general, by

$$(\lambda)_\nu := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\nu = 0; \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \\ \lambda(\lambda+1)\dots(\lambda+n-1) & (\nu \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}), \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

it being assumed *conventionally* that $(0)_0 := 1$ and understood *tacitly* that the Γ -quotient exists (see, for details, [42, p. 21 *et seq.*]).

The so-called *incomplete Gamma functions* $\gamma(s, x)$ and $\Gamma(s, x)$ defined, respectively, by

$$\gamma(s, x) := \int_0^x t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (\Re(s) > 0; x \geq 0) \quad (5)$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: rekhas@math.uvic.ca

and

$$\Gamma(s, x) := \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (x \geq 0; \Re(s) > 0 \text{ when } x = 0), \quad (6)$$

are known to satisfy the following decomposition formula:

$$\gamma(s, x) + \Gamma(s, x) = \Gamma(s) \quad (\Re(s) > 0). \quad (7)$$

The function $\Gamma(z)$, and its incomplete versions $\gamma(s, x)$ and $\Gamma(s, x)$, play important rôles in the study of the analytic solutions of a variety of problems in diverse areas of science and engineering (see, for example, [1], [7], [6], [12], [15], [17], [21], [23], [24], [38], [39], [40], [41], [51], [52] and [53]; see also [37] and the references cited therein).

In a recent paper, the following family of generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions was introduced and studied systematically by Srivastava *et al.* [37, p. 675, Equations (4.1) and (4.2)]:

$${}_p\gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, x), a_2, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1; x)_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (8)$$

and

$${}_p\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, x), a_2, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda; x]_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (9)$$

where, in terms of the incomplete Gamma functions $\gamma(s, x)$ and $\Gamma(s, x)$ defined by (5) and (6), the *incomplete* Pochhammer symbols

$$(\lambda; x)_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad [\lambda; x]_\nu \quad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; x \geq 0)$$

are defined as follows:

$$(\lambda; x)_\nu := \frac{\gamma(\lambda + \nu, x)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; x \geq 0) \quad (10)$$

and

$$[\lambda; x]_\nu := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \nu, x)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; x \geq 0). \quad (11)$$

so that, obviously, these incomplete Pochhammer symbols $(\lambda; x)_\nu$ and $[\lambda; x]_\nu$ satisfy the following decomposition relation:

$$(\lambda; x)_\nu + [\lambda; x]_\nu = (\lambda)_\nu \quad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; x \geq 0), \quad (12)$$

where $(\lambda)_\nu$ is the Pochhammer symbol given by (4).

Remark 1. The argument $x \geq 0$ in the definitions (5) and (6), (8) and (9), (10) and (11), and elsewhere in this paper, is *independent* of the argument $z \in \mathbb{C}$ which occurs in the definitions (1), (8) and (9), and also in the results presented in this paper.

As already pointed out by Srivastava *et al.* [37, p. 675, Remark 7], since

$$|(\lambda; x)_n| \leq |(\lambda)_n| \quad \text{and} \quad |[\lambda; x]_n| \leq |(\lambda)_n| \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; x \geq 0), \quad (13)$$

the precise (*sufficient*) conditions under which the infinite series in the definitions (8) and (9) would converge absolutely can be derived from those that are well-documented in the case of the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see, for details, [29, pp. 72–73] and [40, p. 20]; see also [8], [3], [20] and [30]). Indeed, in their special case when $x = 0$, both ${}_p\gamma_q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$) and ${}_p\Gamma_q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$) would reduce immediately to the extensively-investigated generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$). Furthermore, as an immediate consequence of the definitions (8) and (9), we have the following decomposition formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_p\gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, x), a_2, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] + {}_p\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, x), a_2, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] \\ &= {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

in terms of the familiar generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$).

Motivated essentially by the demonstrated potential for applications of the generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions ${}_p\gamma_q$ and ${}_p\Gamma_q$ in many diverse areas of mathematical, physical, engineering and statistical sciences (see, for details, [37] and the references cited therein), we aim here at presenting a systematic investigation of several *further* properties of these generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions and some classes of incomplete hypergeometric polynomials associated with them. Specifically, we make use of several such combinatorial identities as Gould's identity (18) below, which stem essentially from the Lagrange expansion theorem (see, for example, [42, Chapter 7]), with a view to deriving many general families of generating functions for a certain class of incomplete hypergeometric polynomials associated with these generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions (see also some interesting recent developments [35] and [47]). Various (known or new) special cases and consequences of the results presented in this article are considered. We choose also to point out several other generalizations of the Pochhammer symbol and their associated families of hypergeometric functions and hypergeometric polynomials.

2 Generating Functions Based Upon the Lagrange Expansion Theorem and Gould's Identity

Upon suitable specialization, the Lagrange expansion theorem (see [28, p. 146, Problem 206] and [53, p. 133]; see also the Appendix for complete details) is known to yield each of the following combinatorial identities [28, p. 349, Problem 216]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} t^n = \frac{(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha + 1}}{1 - \beta \zeta} \quad (15)$$

and [28, p. 348, Problem 212]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} t^n = (1 + \zeta)^{\alpha}, \quad (16)$$

where α and β are complex numbers independent of n and ζ is a function of t defined implicitly by

$$\zeta = t(1 + \zeta)^{\beta + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(0) = 0. \quad (17)$$

In view of the following obvious combinatorial identity: $\binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} + (\beta + 1) \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n - 1}{n - 1}$, the expansion formula (15) can easily be shown to imply the expansion formula (16). In fact, it is not difficult to show that the expansion formulas (15) and (16) are equivalent (see, for details, [42, pp. 354–356]).

The following interesting generalization (and unification) of the equivalent expansion formulas (15) and (16) was given by Gould [14, p. 196, Equation (6.1)]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)n} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} t^n \\ = (1 + \zeta)^{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{\alpha - \kappa}{n} \binom{n + \kappa / (\beta + 1)}{n}^{-1} \left(\frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta} \right)^n, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where α , β and κ are complex numbers independent of n and ζ is a function of t defined implicitly by (17).

For $\kappa = \alpha$, Gould's identity (18) reduces at once to the expansion formula (16). Moreover, in its limit case when $|\kappa| \rightarrow \infty$, (18) corresponds (at least formally) to the expansion formula (15). Thus, for bounded κ ($\kappa \neq \alpha$), Gould's identity (18) may naturally be looked upon as being independent of the equivalent expansion formulas (15) and (16).

The existing literature on generating functions is abundant in results that are based essentially upon the Lagrange expansion theorem as well as the three formulas (15), (16) and (18) (see, for details, [42, Chapter 7]; see also [10], [2], [32], [33], [34], [36], [43], [46] and [54], and as well as many references to other closely-related investigations cited in each of these works). With a view to applying it to derive generating functions for a certain

class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials, we recall here a general result on generating functions asserted by Lemma 1 below, known as the Srivastava-Buschman generating function, which is due to Srivastava and Buschman [36, p. 366, Theorem 3] and [42, p. 373, Theorem 9]).

Lemma 1. Corresponding to the power series $\Lambda(z)$ given by

$$\Lambda(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n z^n \quad (\Omega_0 \neq 0), \quad (19)$$

let the polynomial system $S_{n,N}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\lambda; z)$ be defined by

$$S_{n,N}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\lambda; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/N \rfloor} \frac{(-n)_{Nk} (\alpha + (\beta + 1)n + 1)_{\lambda k}}{(\alpha + \beta n + 1)_{(\lambda + N)k}} \Omega_k z^k \quad (\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; N \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (20)$$

where $\lfloor \omega \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer in $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose also that

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(n, N; \alpha, \beta, \kappa, \lambda; z) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)Nk} \binom{\alpha - \kappa + \lambda k}{n} \binom{n + Nk + \kappa / (\beta + 1)}{n}^{-1} \Omega_k z^k \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$(n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \alpha, \beta, \kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; N \in \mathbb{N}).$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)n} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} S_{n,N}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\lambda; z) t^n \\ = (1 + \zeta)^{\alpha} \varphi \left[z(-\zeta)^N (1 + \zeta)^{\lambda}, -\frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\varphi[z, w] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \vartheta(n, N; \alpha, \beta, \kappa, \lambda; z) w^n \quad (23)$$

where ζ is given by (17), it being assumed that both members of the generating function (22) exist.

The main generating functions for the aforementioned associated class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials are contained in the following theorem (see also [50]).

Theorem 1. Let $\Delta(N; \lambda)$ denote the array of N parameters

$$\frac{\lambda}{N}, \frac{\lambda + 1}{N}, \dots, \frac{\lambda + N - 1}{N} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; N \in \mathbb{N}),$$

the array $\Delta(N; \lambda)$ being empty when $N = 0$. Suppose also that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(n, N, L; \alpha, \beta, \kappa; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)Nk} \binom{\alpha - \kappa + Lk}{n} \binom{n + Nk + \kappa / (\beta + 1)}{n}^{-1} \\ \cdot \frac{(a_0; x)_k (a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k z^k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k k!} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$(x \geq 0; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \alpha, \beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}; N, L \in \mathbb{N})$

and

$$\Theta(n, N, L; \alpha, \beta, \kappa; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)Nk} \binom{\alpha - \kappa + Lk}{n} \binom{n + Nk + \kappa/(\beta + 1)}{n}^{-1} \cdot \frac{[a_0; x]_k (a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k z^k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k k!} \quad (25)$$

$(x \geq 0; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \alpha, \beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}; N, L \in \mathbb{N}).$

Then the following generating functions hold true for the associated class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)n} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} \cdot {}_{p+N+L+1}\gamma_{q+N+L} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), \Delta(L; \alpha + (\beta + 1)n + 1), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N + L; \alpha + \beta n + 1), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n = (1 + \zeta)^\alpha \phi \left[\left(\frac{(N+L)^{N+L}}{N^N L^L} \right) z (-\zeta)^N (1 + \zeta)^L, -\frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta} \right] \quad (26)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)n} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} \cdot {}_{p+N+L+1}\Gamma_{q+N+L} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), \Delta(L; \alpha + (\beta + 1)n + 1), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N + L; \alpha + \beta n + 1), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n = (1 + \zeta)^\alpha \Phi \left[\left(\frac{(N+L)^{N+L}}{N^N L^L} \right) z (-\zeta)^N (1 + \zeta)^L, -\frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta} \right], \quad (27)$$

where

$$\phi[z, w] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta(n, N, L; \alpha, \beta, \kappa; z) w^n \quad (28)$$

and

$$\Phi[z, w] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Theta(n, N, L; \alpha, \beta, \kappa; z) w^n, \quad (23)$$

and ζ is given by (17), it being assumed that both members of the generating functions (26) and (27) exist.

Proof. The assertions (26) and (27) of Theorem 1 can be proven by appealing appropriately to Lemma 1. Indeed, if in Lemma 1, we set $\lambda = L$ ($L \in \mathbb{N}$),

$$\Omega_n = \frac{(a_0; x)_n (a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{n! (b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \quad (x \geq 0; n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0) \quad (30)$$

and

$$\Omega_n = \frac{[a_0; x]_n (a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{n! (b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \quad (x \geq 0; n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (31)$$

and then interpret the incomplete hypergeometric polynomials resulting from (20) by means of the definitions (8) and (9), respectively, the generating functions (26) and (27) asserted by Theorem 1 would follow after series iterations and necessary simplifications.

Alternatively, of course, the assertions (26) and (27) of Theorem 1 can be derived *directly* by using Gould's identity (18) in an appropriate manner.

Since the limit cases of the generating functions (26) and (27) when $|\kappa| \rightarrow \infty$ are equivalent to the corresponding obvious special cases of the generating functions (26) and (27) when $\kappa = \alpha$, just as we observed above in connection with the three combinatorial identities (15), (16) and (18), it would suffice our purpose if we state only the limiting cases of the generating functions (26) and (27) when $|\kappa| \rightarrow \infty$ as Corollary 1 below.

Corollary 1. Assume that $x \geq 0$ and $N, L \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following generating functions hold true for the associated class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} \cdot {}_{p+N+L+1}\gamma_{q+N+L} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), \Delta(L; \alpha + (\beta + 1)n + 1), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N + L; \alpha + \beta n + 1), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n = \frac{(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1 - \beta \zeta} {}_{p+1}\gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \left(\frac{(N+L)^{N+L}}{N^N L^L} \right) z (-\zeta)^N (1 + \zeta)^L \right] \quad (32)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} \cdot {}_{p+N+L+1}\Gamma_{q+N+L} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), \Delta(L; \alpha + (\beta + 1)n + 1), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N + L; \alpha + \beta n + 1), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n = \frac{(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1 - \beta \zeta} {}_{p+1}\gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \left(\frac{(N+L)^{N+L}}{N^N L^L} \right) z (-\zeta)^N (1 + \zeta)^L \right], \quad (33)$$

where ζ is given by (17), it being assumed that both members of the generating functions (32) and (33) exist.

The methodology and techniques used here and in the treatise on generating functions by Srivastava and Manocha (see, for details, [42, Chapter 7]) can be applied *mutatis mutandis* in order to obtain a remarkably large variety of generating functions for the associated class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials of the type which we have considered in the preceding section. The details involved in these derivations may be omitted here.

Various interesting special cases of the generating functions (26) and (27) asserted by Theorem 1 and the generating functions (32) and (33) asserted by Corollary 1, which would correspond to the special cases of the potentially useful Srivastava-Buschman generating function (22) asserted by Lemma 1 when (for example) $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = -1$, can also be derived fairly easily. Thus, for instance, Theorem 1 in its *exceptional* case when $L = 0$ would yield the following result.

Corollary 2. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Psi_n(\alpha, \beta, \kappa; \zeta) = \Psi_n(\alpha, \beta, \kappa; \zeta) =: \Xi_n \quad (x \geq 0; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \alpha, \beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (34)$$

where

$$\Xi_n := (-1)^n \binom{\alpha - \kappa}{n} \binom{n + \kappa / (\beta + 1)}{n}^{-1} \left(\frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta} \right)^n \quad (35)$$

$(x \geq 0; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \alpha, \beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}).$

Then the following generating functions hold true for the associated class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)n} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} {}_{p+N+1}\gamma_{q+N} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N; \alpha + \beta n + 1), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= (1 + \zeta)^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi_n(\alpha, \beta, \kappa; \zeta) {}_{p+N+1}\gamma_{q+N} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; \kappa / (\beta + 1)), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N; 1 + n + \kappa / (\beta + 1)), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z(-\zeta)^N \right] \quad (36)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + (\beta + 1)n} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} {}_{p+N+1}\Gamma_{q+N} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N; \alpha + \beta n + 1), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= (1 + \zeta)^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi_n(\alpha, \beta, \kappa; \zeta) {}_{p+N+1}\Gamma_{q+N} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; \kappa / (\beta + 1)), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N; 1 + n + \kappa / (\beta + 1)), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z(-\zeta)^N \right], \quad (37)$$

where ζ is given by (17), it being assumed that both members of the generating functions (36) and (37) exist.

If, in the aforementioned exceptional case of Theorem 1 when $L = 0$, we set $\kappa = \alpha$ (or, equivalently, let $|\kappa| \rightarrow \infty$), we arrive at the following exceptional case of Corollary 1 when $L = 0$.

Corollary 3. Suppose that $x \geq 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following generating functions hold true for the associated class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} {}_{p+N+1}\gamma_{q+N} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N; \alpha + \beta n + 1), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1 - \beta \zeta} {}_{p+1}\gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z(-\zeta)^N \right] \quad (38)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n} {}_{p+N+1}\Gamma_{q+N} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(N; \alpha + \beta n + 1), b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{1 - \beta \zeta} {}_{p+1}\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z(-\zeta)^N \right], \quad (39)$$

where ζ is given by (17), it being assumed that both members of the generating functions (38) and (39) exist.

3 Further Generating Functions for the Associated Class of Generalized Incomplete Hypergeometric Polynomials

Many general families of generating functions as well as their basic (or q -) extensions for various polynomial systems in one and more variables were derived by Srivastava (see, for details, [33]; see also [42, p. 142 et seq.]). We choose to recall here one of Srivastava's results as Lemma 2 below (see [33, p. 331, Equation (2.2)] and [42, p. 144, Equation 2.6 (28)]).

Lemma 2. Let $\{\Theta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\{\Phi_{n,k}\}_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ denote, respectively, suitably bounded single and double sequences of essentially arbitrary complex parameters. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (c_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^m (d_j)_n} \Theta_n t^n \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/N \rfloor} \frac{(-n)_{Nk} \prod_{j=1}^m (1 - d_j - n)_k}{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (1 - c_j - n)_k} \frac{\Phi_{n,k} z^k}{k!}$$

$$= \sum_{n,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (c_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^m (d_j)_n} \Theta_{n+Nk} \Phi_{n+Nk,k} \frac{t^n}{n!} \frac{\{z(-1)^{\ell-m+1} t\}^N}{k!} \quad (\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0; N \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (40)$$

provided that each member of (40) exists, $[\kappa]$ being the greatest integer in $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2. In results such as the generating function (40), an empty product is interpreted (as usual) to be 1. Thus, for example, it is always understood that $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (c_j)_n = 1$ when $\ell = 0$ and $\prod_{j=1}^m (d_j)_n = 1$ when $m = 0$.

With a view to applying Lemma 2 to a certain class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials which are associated naturally with the generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions ${}_p\gamma_q$ and ${}_p\Gamma_q$ defined by (8) and (9), respectively, we set

$$\Phi_{n,k} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r (g_j + n)_{Lk}}{\prod_{j=1}^s (h_j + n)_{Mk}} \frac{(a_0; x)_k (a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \quad (x \geq 0; p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; L, M \in \mathbb{N})$$

and

$$\Phi_{n,k} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r (g_j + n)_{Lk}}{\prod_{j=1}^s (h_j + n)_{Mk}} \frac{[a_0; x]_k (a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \quad (x \geq 0; p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; L, M \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For convenience, we denote the array of N parameters

$$\frac{\lambda}{N}, \frac{\lambda + 1}{N}, \dots, \frac{\lambda + N - 1}{N} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; N \in \mathbb{N})$$

by $\Delta(N; \lambda)$ and the array of Nr parameters $\frac{\lambda_j}{N}, \frac{\lambda_j + 1}{N}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_j + N - 1}{N}$ ($\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}; j = 1, \dots, r; N \in \mathbb{N}$) by $\Delta(N, r; \lambda)$, the array being empty when $N = 0$ (and indeed also when $r = 0$), so that

$$\Delta(N, 1; \lambda_j) = \Delta(N; \lambda_1).$$

We are thus led eventually to the following family of generating functions for the associated class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials.

Theorem 2. Let $\{\Theta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ denote a suitably bounded sequence of essentially arbitrary complex parameters. Then the following generating functions hold true for the associated class of generalized incomplete hypergeometric polynomials:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (c_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^m (d_j)_n} \frac{\Theta_n t^n}{n!} {}_{p+Lr+(m+1)N+1}Y_{q+Ms+N\ell} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), \Delta(L, r; g_j + n), \Delta(N, m; 1 - d_j - n), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(M, s; h_j + n), \Delta(N, \ell; 1 - c_j - n), b_1, \dots, b_q; \left(\frac{L^r}{M^M s N^{(\ell-m-1)N}} \right) z \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (c_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^m (d_j)_n} \Theta_{n+Nk} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r (g_j + n + Nk)_{Lk}}{\prod_{j=1}^s (h_j + n + Nk)_{Mk}} \frac{(a_0; x)_k (a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \cdot \frac{t^n}{n!} \left(\frac{z \{(-1)^{\ell-m+1} t\}^N}{k!} \right)^k \quad (x \geq 0; \ell, m, p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; L, M, N \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{41}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (c_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^m (d_j)_n} \frac{\Theta_n t^n}{n!} {}_{p+Lr+(m+1)N+1}I_{q+Ms+N\ell} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), \Delta(L, r; g_j + n), \Delta(N, m; 1 - d_j - n), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ \Delta(M, s; h_j + n), \Delta(N, \ell; 1 - c_j - n), b_1, \dots, b_q; \left(\frac{L^r}{M^M s N^{(\ell-m-1)N}} \right) z \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (c_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^m (d_j)_n} \Theta_{n+Nk} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r (g_j + n + Nk)_{Lk}}{\prod_{j=1}^s (h_j + n + Nk)_{Mk}} \frac{[a_0; x]_k (a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \cdot \frac{t^n}{n!} \left(\frac{z \{(-1)^{\ell-m+1} t\}^N}{k!} \right)^k \quad (x \geq 0; \ell, m, p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; L, M, N \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{42}$$

provided that both sides of (41) and (42) exist.

Several interesting corollaries and consequences of the generating functions (41) and (42) asserted by Theorem 2 are worthy of mention here. First of all, if we set

$\Theta_n = (\lambda)_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $N - 1 = \ell = m = r = s = 0$, we find from (41) and (42) that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{p+2}Y_q \left[\begin{matrix} -n, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= (1-t)^{-\lambda} {}_{p+2}Y_q \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} -\frac{zt}{1-t} \right] \quad (x \geq 0; |t| < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \tag{43}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{p+2}I_q \left[\begin{matrix} -n, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= (1-t)^{-\lambda} {}_{p+2}I_q \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} -\frac{zt}{1-t} \right] \quad (x \geq 0; |t| < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}). \tag{44}$$

Secondly, upon setting $\Theta_n = (\lambda)_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $N - 1 = \ell = m = r - 1 = s = 0 \quad (g_1 = \lambda)$, the generating functions (41) and (42) yield the following special cases:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{p+3}Y_q \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \lambda + n, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= (1-t)^{-\lambda} {}_{p+3}Y_q \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(2; \lambda), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} -\frac{4zt}{(1-t)^2} \right] \tag{45}$$

$(x \geq 0; |t| < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C})$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{p+3}I_q \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \lambda + n, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= (1-t)^{-\lambda} {}_{p+3}I_q \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(2; \lambda), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} -\frac{4zt}{(1-t)^2} \right] \tag{46}$$

$(x \geq 0; |t| < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}).$

Thirdly, we set $\Theta_n = 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $N - 1 = \ell - 1 = m = r = s = 0 \quad (c_1 = \lambda)$. Then the generating functions (41) and (42) reduce to the following forms:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{p+2}Y_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} -n, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ 1 - \lambda - n, b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= (1-t)^{-\lambda} {}_{p+1}Y_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} zt \right] \quad (x \geq 0; |t| < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \tag{47}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{p+2}I_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} -n, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ 1 - \lambda - n, b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] t^n$$

$$= (1-t)^{-\lambda} {}_{p+1}I_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} zt \right] \quad (x \geq 0; |t| < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}). \tag{48}$$

Fourthly, if we set

$\Theta_n = 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $N - 1 = \ell = m = r = s = 0$,

then the generating functions (41) and (42) would immediately yield

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_{p+2}Y_q \left[\begin{matrix} -n, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

$$= e^t {}_{p+1}Y_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} -zt \right] \quad (x \geq 0; |t| < 1) \tag{49}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_{p+2}\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} -n, (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^t {}_{p+1}\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] (-zt) \quad (x \geq 0; |t| < 1). \tag{50}$$

Lastly, upon setting

$$\Theta_n = (\lambda)_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell = m = r = s = 0,$$

the generating functions (41) and (42) yield the following results:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{p+N+1}\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] t^n = (1-t)^{-\lambda} {}_{p+N+1}\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; \lambda), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] z \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^N \quad (x \geq 0; |t| < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; N \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{51}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{p+N+1}\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; -n), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] t^n = (1-t)^{-\lambda} {}_{p+N+1}\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N; \lambda), (a_0, x), a_1, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] z \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^N \quad (x \geq 0; |t| < 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; N \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{52}$$

Remark 3. The generating functions (43) and (44) are obvious consequences of the generating functions (51) and (52), respectively, in their special case when $N = 1$. Moreover, the generating functions (43) and (44) would follow also as the limit cases of the generating functions (45) and (46), respectively, if we first replace z in (45) and (46) by z/λ and then proceed to the limit when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 4. The generating functions (49) and (50) are the limit cases of the generating functions (43) and (44), respectively, if we first replace t in (43) and (44) by t/λ and then proceed to the limit when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, the generating functions (49) and (50) can be deduced also as the limit cases of the generating functions (47) and (48), respectively, if we first replace t and z in (47) and (48) by t/λ and λz , respectively, and then proceed to the limit when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 5. For $x = 0$, the generating functions (43) to (48) were given by Chaundy [11] who also gave a much more general result than the case of the generating functions (49) and (50) when $x = 0$ (see, for details, [42, Section 2.6]; see

also [33]). In fact, as already observed by Srivastava [33, p. 329], the case of the generating functions (49) and (50) when $x = 0$ (see [33, p. 329, Equation (1.7)] and [42, p. 141, Equation 2.6 (19)]) is usually attributed to Rainville (cf., e.g., [13, p. 267, Equation 19.10 (25)]). The case of the last generating functions (51) and (52) when $x = 0$ is due to Brafman (see [9, p. 187, Equation (55)] and [42, p. 136, Equation 2.6 (2)]). See also [27] and [42, p. 178, Problem 34] for more general families of hypergeometric generating functions than the aforementioned results of Brafman [9] and Chaundy [11].

4 Reducible Cases of the Generalized Incomplete Hypergeometric Functions

Our main results in this section are contained in Theorem 3 below (see also [49]).

Theorem 3. *The following reduction formulas hold true for the generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions ${}_p\gamma_q$ and ${}_p\Gamma_q$:*

$${}_{p+1}\gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), b_1 + m_1, \dots, b_r + m_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_r, b_{r+1}, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] z = \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{j_r=0}^{m_r} \Lambda(j_1, \dots, j_r) \cdot z^{J_r} {}_{p-r+1}\gamma_{q-r} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0 + J_r, x), a_{r+1} + J_r, \dots, a_p + J_r; \\ b_{r+1} + J_r, \dots, b_q + J_r; \end{matrix} \right] z \tag{53}$$

($x \geq 0; r \leq \min\{p, q\}; p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0; p < q$ when $z \in \mathbb{C}; p = q$ when $|z| < 1$) and

$${}_{p+1}\Gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), b_1 + m_1, \dots, b_r + m_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_r, b_{r+1}, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] z = \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{j_r=0}^{m_r} \Lambda(j_1, \dots, j_r) \cdot z^{J_r} {}_{p-r+1}\Gamma_{q-r} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0 + J_r, x), a_{r+1} + J_r, \dots, a_p + J_r; \\ b_{r+1} + J_r, \dots, b_q + J_r; \end{matrix} \right] z \tag{54}$$

($x \geq 0; r \leq \min\{p, q\}; p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0; p < q$ when $z \in \mathbb{C}; p = q$ when $|z| < 1$), where, for convenience,

$$J_r := j_1 + \dots + j_r$$

and

$$\Lambda(j_1, \dots, j_r) = \binom{m_1}{j_1} \dots \binom{m_r}{j_r} \frac{(b_2 + m_2)_{j_1} \dots (b_r + m_r)_{j_{r-1}} (a_{r+1})_{j_r} \dots (a_p)_{j_r}}{(b_1)_{j_1} \dots (b_r)_{j_r} (b_{r+1})_{j_r} \dots (b_q)_{j_r}}.$$

Proof. Our demonstrations of the reduction formulas (53) and (54) are based upon the principle of mathematical induction on the integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, in its special case when $r = 1$, the reduction formula (53) can be written in the following form:

$${}_{p+1}\gamma_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0, x), b_1 + m_1, a_2, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} \right] z = \sum_{j=0}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{j} \frac{(a_2)_j \dots (a_p)_j}{(b_1)_j \dots (b_q)_j} \cdot z^j {}_p\gamma_{q-1} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0 + j, x), a_2 + j, \dots, a_p + j; \\ b_2 + j, \dots, b_q + j; \end{matrix} \right] z \tag{55}$$

($x \geq 0$; $m_1, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$; $p < q$ when $z \in \mathbb{C}$; $p = q$ when $|z| < 1$).

In order to prove this last reduction formula (55), we denote its right-hand side by Ω and apply the identity (3) and the definition (4). We thus find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &:= \sum_{j=0}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{j} \frac{(a_2)_j \cdots (a_p)_j}{(b_1)_j \cdots (b_q)_j} \cdot z^j {}_pF_{q-1} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_0 + j, x), a_2 + j, \dots, a_p + j; \\ b_2 + j, \dots, b_q + j; \end{matrix} z \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(a_0 + n, x)}{\Gamma(a_0 + n)} \frac{(a_2)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_2)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{m_1, n\}} \frac{(-m_1)_j (-n)_j}{j! (b_1)_j} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(a_0 + n, x)}{\Gamma(a_0 + n)} \frac{(b_1 + m_1)_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where we have also applied the familiar Chu-Vandermonde summation formula (see, for example, [30, p. 243, Entry (II.4)]):

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, b; \\ c; \end{matrix} 1 \right] = \frac{(c-b)_n}{(c)_n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0; b \in \mathbb{C}; c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-), \quad (57)$$

\mathbb{Z}_0^- being the set of nonpositive integers.

Upon interpreting the last member of (56) by means of the definitions (8) and (10), the reduction formula (55) would follow immediately. The general reduction formula (53) can then be deduced by repeated applications of (56) to itself and appealing to the principle of mathematical induction on $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

The second assertion (54) of Theorem 3 can be proven in an analogous manner by using the definitions (9) and (11) instead of the definitions (8) and (10), respectively.

Remark 6. In its special case when $x = 0$, each of the assertions (53) and (54) of Theorem 3 reduces at once to the following known reduction formula (see, for example, [16] and [32]; see also [48, p. 1080] and the references to more general results on hypergeometric reduction formulas, which are cited in [48]):

$$\begin{aligned} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} b_1 + m_1, \dots, b_r + m_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_r, b_{r+1}, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] \\ = \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{j_r=0}^{m_r} \Lambda(j_1, \dots, j_r) z^{J_r} {}_{p-r}F_{q-r} \left[\begin{matrix} a_{r+1} + J_r, \dots, a_p + J_r; \\ b_{r+1} + J_r, \dots, b_q + J_r; \end{matrix} z \right] \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

($r \leq \min\{p, q\}$; $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$; $p < q + 1$ when $z \in \mathbb{C}$; $p = q + 1$ when $|z| < 1$), where, as also in (53) and (54),

$$J_r := j_1 + \cdots + j_r$$

and

$$\Lambda(j_1, \dots, j_r) = \binom{m_1}{j_1} \cdots \binom{m_r}{j_r} \frac{(b_2 + m_2)_{j_1} \cdots (b_r + m_r)_{j_{r-1}} (a_{r+1})_{j_r} \cdots (a_p)_{j_r}}{(b_1)_{j_1} \cdots (b_r)_{j_r} (b_{r+1})_{j_r} \cdots (b_q)_{j_r}}.$$

Remark 7. The general hypergeometric identity (58) was proved by Karlsson [16] and (in two markedly different simpler ways) by Srivastava [32]. More interestingly, various generalizations and basic (or q -) extensions of the hypergeometric identity (58) can be found in several

sequels to the works by Karlsson [16] and Srivastava [32] (see, for example, [25]). Reference [26], on the other hand, contains further general results stemming from the hypergeometric identity (58) including multivariable generalizations. Furthermore, Karlsson's proof of the Karlsson-Minton summation formula (see, for details, [16]; see also [22] and [48, p. 1080, Equation (20)]) was based upon the hypergeometric reduction formula (58).

Remark 8. Numerous further corollaries and consequences of the general results asserted by Theorems 1, 2 and 3 can indeed be derived in a manner analogous to those of the specializations that we have indicated in this presentation. We choose to omit the details involved in deriving these additional corollaries and consequences of Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

5 Concluding Remarks and Observations

In view of the demonstrated potential for applications of the generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q$ and ${}_pF_q$ in many diverse areas of mathematical, physical, engineering and statistical sciences (see, for details, [37] and the references cited therein), we have successfully presented here a systematic investigation of several further properties of these generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions and some classes of incomplete hypergeometric polynomials associated with them. Specifically, we make use of several such combinatorial identities as Gould's identity (18) below, which stem essentially from the Lagrange expansion theorem (see, for example, [42, Chapter 7]), with a view to deriving many general families of generating functions for a certain class of incomplete hypergeometric polynomials associated with these generalized incomplete hypergeometric functions. We have also indicated various (known or new) special cases and consequences of the results presented in this article. Here, in this concluding section, we choose to point out several other generalizations of the Pochhammer symbol and their associated families of hypergeometric functions and hypergeometric polynomials.

First of all, in the widely-scattered literature on the subject of this paper, one can find several interesting generalizations of the familiar (Euler's) gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ defined by (1), as well as the corresponding generalizations and extensions of the Beta function $B(\alpha, \beta)$, the hypergeometric functions ${}_1F_1$ and ${}_2F_1$, and the generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q$. For example, for a suitably bounded sequence $\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of essentially arbitrary (real or complex) numbers, Srivastava *et al.* [44, p. 243 *et seq.*] recently considered

the function $\Theta(z)$ given by

$$\Theta(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}; z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \kappa_\ell \frac{z^\ell}{\ell!} & (|z| < R; R > 0; \kappa_0 := 1) \\ \mathfrak{M}_0 z^\omega \exp(z) \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right) \right] & (|z| \rightarrow \infty; \mathfrak{M}_0 > 0; \omega \in \mathbb{C}) \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

for some suitable constants \mathfrak{M}_0 and ω depending essentially upon the sequence $\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Then, in terms of the function $\Theta(z)$ defined by (59), Srivastava *et al.* [44] introduced a remarkably deep generalization of the extended Gamma function $\Gamma_p(z)$, the extended Beta function $B_p(\alpha, \beta)$ and the extended hypergeometric function $F_p(a, b; c; z)$ (see, for details, [6] and [5]) by

$$\Gamma_p(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})(z) := \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} \Theta\left(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}; -t - \frac{p}{t}\right) dt \quad (60)$$

$$(\Re(z) > 0; \Re(p) \geq 0),$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_p(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})(\alpha, \beta) = \mathfrak{B}(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})(\alpha, \beta; p)$$

$$:= \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} \Theta\left(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}; -\frac{p}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \quad (61)$$

$$(\min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta)\} > 0; \Re(p) \geq 0)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{F}_p(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})(a, b; c; z) := \frac{1}{B(b, c-b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \mathfrak{B}_p(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})(b+n, c-b) \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

$$(|z| < 1; \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0; \Re(p) \geq 0), \quad (62)$$

provided that the defining integrals in (60), (61) and (62) exist.

Remark 9. In their special cases when $\kappa_\ell = 1$ ($\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$), the equations (60), (61) and (62) would reduce immediately to the corresponding definitions of the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$, the Beta function $B(\alpha, \beta)$ and the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$, respectively. The definition (4) of the Pochhammer symbol $(\lambda)_\nu$ ($\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$) can thus be generalized as follows:

$$(\lambda; p, \{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})_\nu := \frac{\Gamma_p(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})(\lambda + \nu)}{\Gamma_p(\{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (63)$$

Based upon the definition (63), we can introduce a family of generalized hypergeometric functions given by

$${}_p\mathcal{F}_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1; p, \{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}), a_2, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1; p, \{\kappa_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0})_n (a_2)_n \dots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (64)$$

provided that the series on the right-hand side converges. Indeed, whenever one or the other of the numerator parameters a_2, \dots, a_p in (64) is a *nonpositive* integer, the

definition (64) will define the corresponding family of hypergeometric polynomials.

Next, in his investigation of the asymptotic expansions of a class of *branch-cut* integrals occurring in diffraction theory by means of the Wiener-Hopf technique, Kobayashi (see [18] and [19]) encountered an integral of the following type:

$$\Gamma_m(u, v) := \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{u-1} e^{-t}}{(v+t)^m} dt = v^{u-m} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{u-1} e^{-vt}}{(1+t)^m} dt \quad (65)$$

$$(\min\{\Re(u), \Re(v)\} > 0; m \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

so that, in the special case when $m = 0$ and $v = 1$, we have

$$\Gamma_0(u, 1) = \int_0^\infty t^{u-1} e^{-t} dt =: \Gamma(u) \quad (\Re(u) > 0). \quad (66)$$

Remark 10. In view of their importance and usefulness in diffraction theory and probability distributions, several extensions and generalizations of the gamma-type function $\Gamma_m(u, v)$ defined by (66) were investigated in recent years (see, for details, [45] for a systematic study of a unified presentation of all such extensions and generalizations).

Finally, by introducing a generalization of the definition (4) of the Pochhammer symbol $(\lambda)_\nu$ ($\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$) given by

$$(\lambda; m, \nu)_\nu := \frac{\Gamma_m(\lambda + \nu, \nu)}{\Gamma_m(\lambda, \nu)} \quad (\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(\nu) > 0; m \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (67)$$

we can define a family of generalized hypergeometric functions as follows:

$${}_p\mathcal{G}_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1; m, \nu), a_2, \dots, a_p; \\ b_1, \dots, b_q; \end{matrix} z \right] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1; m, \nu)_n (a_2)_n \dots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (68)$$

provided that each member of (68) exists. In fact, whenever one or the other of the numerator parameters a_2, \dots, a_p in (68) is a *nonpositive* integer, the definition (68) will define the corresponding family of hypergeometric polynomials.

Other (known or new) extensions of the familiar (Euler's) gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ will lead us similarly to the corresponding generalizations of the definition (4) of the Pochhammer symbol $(\lambda)_\nu$ ($\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$) and, consequently, also to the resulting families of generalized hypergeometric functions and generalized hypergeometric polynomials. Moreover, by suitably applying the methodology and techniques described fairly adequately by Srivastava *et al.* [37] and in the preceding sections, one can derive analogous properties and relationships involving such families of generalized hypergeometric functions and generalized hypergeometric polynomials as those stemming from the definitions (64) and (68).

Appendix

Here, for the convenience of the interested reader, we recall the celebrated *Lagrange Expansion Theorem* (see, for details, [28, p. 146, Problem 206] and [53, p. 133]; see also [42, p. 354 *et seq.*]) and its such already used consequences as the combinatorial identities (15) and (16).

Lagrange Expansion Theorem. *Let the function $\varphi(z)$ be holomorphic at the point $z = z_0$ in the complex z -plane and let*

$$\varphi(z_0) \neq 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Suppose also that

$$z = z_0 + w\varphi(z). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Then an analytic function $f(z)$, which is holomorphic at the point $z = z_0$, can be expanded in a power series in w as follows:

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{n!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} \left\{ f'(z) [\varphi(z)]^n \right\} \Big|_{z=z_0} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{f(z)}{1 - w\varphi'(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \left\{ f(z) [\varphi(z)]^n \right\} \Big|_{z=z_0}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

For $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$, both (A.3) and (A.4) evidently yield the relatively more familiar *Taylor-Maclaurin Expansion*:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z - z_0)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(z_0). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In order to derive (for example) the *first* combinatorial identity (15), which we have already used in Section 2 of this presentation, we set

$$z_0 = 0, \quad f(z) = (1+z)^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(z) = (1+z)^{\beta+1}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and then make the following notational changes:

$$w \mapsto t \quad \text{and} \quad z \mapsto \zeta,$$

where α and β are complex numbers independent of n and ζ is a function of t defined *implicitly* by (17). Moreover, as we pointed out in Section 2, the expansion formula (15) can easily be shown to imply the expansion formula (16). In fact, the expansion formulas (15) and (16) are equivalent (see, for details, [42, pp. 354–356]).

Acknowledgements

I take this opportunity to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to the members of the Organizing and Scientific Committees of the *International Conference on*

Applied Analysis and Mathematical Modeling [ICAAMM 2013] (Yıldız Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey; June 2–5, 2013) (especially to the Chief Organizer, Prof. Dr. Mustafa Bayram) for their kind invitation and also for the excellent hospitality provided to both myself and my husband, Prof. Dr. Hari M. Srivastava.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Editors), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Tenth Printing, Applied Mathematics Series, Vol. 55, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., (1964); Reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, (1965) (see also [24]).
- [2] L. Carlitz, Some generating functions for Laguerre polynomials, *Duke Math. J.*, **35**, 825–827 (1968).
- [3] B. C. Carlson, *Special Functions of Applied Mathematics*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco and London, (1977).
- [4] A. Çetinkaya, The incomplete second Appell hypergeometric functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **219**, 8332–8337 (2013).
- [5] M. A. Chaudhry, A. Qadir, H. M. Srivastava and R. B. Paris, Extended hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **159**, 589–602 (2004).
- [6] M. A. Chaudhry and S. M. Zubair, *On a Class of Incomplete Gamma Functions with Applications*, Chapman and Hall (CRC Press Company), Boca Raton, London, New York and Washington, D.C., (2001).
- [7] L. C. Andrews, *Special Functions for Engineers and Applied Mathematicians*, Macmillan Company, New York, (1985).
- [8] W. N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 32, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, (1935); Reprinted by Stechert-Hafner Service Agency, New York and London, (1964).
- [9] F. Brafman, Some generating functions of the Laguerre and Hermite polynomials, *Canad. J. Math.*, **9**, 180–187 (1957).
- [10] J. W. Brown, New generating functions for classical polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **21**, 263–268 (1969).
- [11] T. W. Chaundy, An extension of hypergeometric functions (I), *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, **14**, 55–78 (1943).
- [12] A. Erdélyi, W. Mangus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London, (1953).
- [13] A. Erdélyi, W. Mangus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. III, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London, (1955).
- [14] H. W. Gould, A series transformation for finding convolution identities, *Duke Math. J.*, **28**, 193–202 (1961).
- [15] N. L. Johnson, S. Kotz and N. Balakrishnan, *Continuous Univariate Distributions*, Vol. 2, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, (1995).
- [16] P. W. Karlsson, Hypergeometric functions with integral parameter differences, *J. Math. Phys.*, **12**, 270–271 (1971),

- [17] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematical Studies, Vol. **204**, Elsevier (North-Holland) Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, (2006).
- [18] K. Kobayashi, On generalized gamma functions occurring in diffraction theory, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **61**, 1501–1512 (1991).
- [19] K. Kobayashi, Plane wave diffraction by a strip: Exact and asymptotic solutions, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **61**, 1891–1905 (1991).
- [20] Y. L. Luke, *Mathematical Functions and Their Approximations*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco and London, (1975).
- [21] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and R. P. Soni, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Third Enlarged Edition, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Anwendungsgebiete, Band **52**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, (1966).
- [22] A. R. Miller and H. M. Srivastava, Karlsson-Minton summation theorems for the generalized hypergeometric series of unit argument, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **21**, 603–612 (2010).
- [23] K. B. Oldham, J. Myland and J. Spanier, *An Atlas of Functions. With Equator, the Atlas Function Calculator*, Second Edition [With 1 CD-ROM (Windows)], Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, (2009).
- [24] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert and C. W. Clark (Editors), *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* [With 1 CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX)], U. S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, D. C., (2010); Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, (2010) (see also [1] above).
- [25] R. Panda, A note on certain reducible cases of the generalized hypergeometric function, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, **38**, 41–45 (1976).
- [26] R. Panda, The reducible cases of certain generalized hypergeometric functions of several variables, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, **39**, 469–476 (1977).
- [27] R. Panda, On a new class of polynomials, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **18**, 105–108 (1977).
- [28] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis*, Vol. **1** (Translated from the German by D. Aeppli), Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg and Berlin, (1972).
- [29] E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Macmillan Company, New York, (1960); Reprinted by Chelsea publishing Company, Bronx, New York, (1971).
- [30] L. J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, (1966).
- [31] H. M. Srivastava, Generating functions for Jacobi and Laguerre polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23**, 590–595 (1969).
- [32] H. M. Srivastava, Generalized hypergeometric functions with integral parameter difference, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, **35**, 38–40 (1973).
- [33] H. M. Srivastava, Some generalizations of Carlitz's theorem, *Pacific J. Math.*, **85**, 471–477 (1979).
- [34] H. M. Srivastava, A family of q -generating functions, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, **12**, 327–336 (1984).
- [35] H. M. Srivastava, Some generalizations and basic (or q -) extensions of the Bernoulli, Euler and Genocchi polynomials, *Appl. Math. Inform. Sci.*, **5**, 390–444 (2011).
- [36] H. M. Srivastava and R. G. Buschman, Some polynomials defined by generating relations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **205**, 360–370 (1975); see also Addendum, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **226**, 393–394 (1977).
- [37] H. M. Srivastava, M. A. Chaudhry and R. P. Agarwal, The incomplete Pochhammer symbols and their applications to hypergeometric and related functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **23**, 659–683 (2012).
- [38] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, (2001).
- [39] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Zeta and q -Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, (2012).
- [40] H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, (1985).
- [41] H. M. Srivastava and B. R. K. Kashyap, *Special Functions in Queuing Theory and Related Stochastic Processes*, Academic Press, New York and London, (1982).
- [42] H. M. Srivastava and H. L. Manocha, *A Treatise on Generating Functions*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, (1984).
- [43] H. M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some expansion theorems and generating relations for the H function of several complex variables. II, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, **25** (2), 167–197 (1976).
- [44] H. M. Srivastava, R. K. Parmar and P. Chopra, A class of extended fractional derivative operators and associated generating relations involving hypergeometric functions, *Axioms*, **1**, 238–258 (2012).
- [45] H. M. Srivastava, R. K. Saxena and C. Ram, A unified presentation of the Gamma-type functions occurring in diffraction theory and associated probability distributions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **162**, 931–947 (2005).
- [46] R. Srivastava, New generating functions for a class of polynomials, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (Ser. 5)*, **17A**, 183–186 (1980).
- [47] R. Srivastava, Some families of integral, trigonometric and other related inequalities, *Appl. Math. Inform. Sci.*, **5**, 342–360 (2011).
- [48] R. Srivastava, Some families of combinatorial and other series identities and their applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218**, 1077–1083 (2011).
- [49] R. Srivastava, Some properties of a family of incomplete hypergeometric functions, *Russian J. Math. Phys.*, **20**, 121–128 (2013).
- [50] R. Srivastava and N. E. Cho, Generating functions for a certain class of incomplete hypergeometric polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **219**, 3219–3225 (2012).
- [51] N. M. Temme, *Special Functions: An Introduction to Classical Functions of Mathematical Physics*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, (1996).

- [52] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, (1944).
- [53] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis: An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Functions; with an Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions*, Fourth Edition (Reprinted), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, (1973).
- [54] D. Zeitlin, A new class of generating functions for hypergeometric polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **25**, 405-412 (1970).
-



Rekha Srivastava received her Ph.D. degree in 1967 from Banaras Hindu University in India. After having taught at several university-level institutions in India from 1967 to 1972, she joined the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at the University of Victoria in Canada in 1972, where she taught until 2006 and then chose to retire as an Adjunct Professor. For the author's further biographical, bibliographical and other professional details (including her photograph), the interested reader should look into the following link: <http://www.math.uvic.ca/other/rekhas/>