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Abstract: In this study, the Organic Perovskite solar cells have been of great interest due to their low cost and great efficiency. The perovskite materials have huge charge carrier mobility with greater stability than organic materials, metal oxides have shown great promise. In this research, because of its improved performance, we used PCBM represent as Electron Transport layer-ETL, NiO is Hole Transport Material (HTM), and CH₃NH₃PbI₃ as an absorber. His work is concerned with the design and analysis of lead-based perovskite solar cell model with the versatile FTO/PCBM/CH₃NH₃PbI₃/NiO/Pt architecture. Via system simulation, we researched the effects of some parameters on the performance of the solar cell device. Solar cell efficiency was found to be related to the perovskite and PCBM layer thicknesses. The tunable performance of organic perovskite solar cells with the power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 47.49% was reached when a defect of CH₃NH₃PbI₃ was 1×10⁻¹⁰ cm⁻³, short circuit current density (Jsc) 46.214 mA/cm², open-circuit voltage (Voc) 1.397 V, fill factor (FF) 73.52% respectively. These results showed the perovskits solar cell was the best optimal for perovskite solar cells with high stability and efficiency.

Keywords: Perovskits, Organic Semiconductor, Solar Cells.

1 Introduction

Because of its outstanding features such as ideal bandgap, broad absorption range, the good transport mechanism for the carrier, simplicity of manufacturing on the supple substrate, and changeable bandgap, CH₃NH₃PbI₃ becomes a good light harvester [1–6]. The above-mentioned characteristics of the lead halide perovskite material encourage the manufacturing use of perovskite solar cells and become a good economical material for the natural silicone material [7–10]. In the launching, the maximum value of PCE for the CH₃NH₃PbI₃ perovskite solar cell is 3.8 percent [11]. In due development, using the innovative methods of manufacturing and the suitable range of the architecture the PCE of perovskite solar cell reached PCE of 22.1 percent [12]. The solution method represents cost – production method of Methyl ammonium lead halide perovskite materials which are abundant on the planet. To improve the performance of the device, a very clear thoughtful of the original relationship between the materials characteristics and the device architecture is essentially required. Fullerene derivative (PCBM), fullerene is a molecule made entirely from carbon in the custom of a dull sphere, ellipsoidal, or tube. PCBM has excellent electron mobility value, and it has represented the part of electron acceptor mostly in organic solar cells which studied by scientist in this field [12]. E.g. equals the difference between LUMO and HOMO in organic materials. Therefore, the aim of the PV analysis is to seize all wave length of the incident photons by choosing to conduct large absorption spectroscopy, thus generating several pairs in the multiband semiconductor. Amphoteric defect state of the absorber, defect tolerance at interfaces and electrical properties of the solar cells have also been investigated. Bulk heterostructure tends to improve the separation of the exciton, but electron and hole charge mobility and power conversion efficiency of the collection are affected. In this very complex framework, there is a need to do modeling work that can realistically approximate measurements used in characterizing solar cells. Such a program was developed at the University of Gent, called SCAPS.

Fig. 1: The organic structure of PCBM.[13]
2. Simulation and Modeling Computer

The principal work of solar cells can produce power electricity due to being exposed to sunlight by a photovoltaic phenomenon, which is a process for both chemical and physical major. When a device solar cell is hit to sun light, only photons have energy greater than energy gap is absorbed by materials of semiconductor. With complete excitation energy, the absorbed photons will cause electrons and holes to be carried; electrons move in the conduction band and holes move in the valence band travel in different directions. Short circuit current density (JSC), open-circuit voltage (VOC), fill factor (FF) and conversion efficiency are the photovoltaic parameters used to determine a photovoltaic system's output (almost). Burgelman et al. generated the SCAPS-1D one-dimensional simulation program. From Gent University, Belgium. SCAPS can calculate by many solar cell- related properties, such as energy gaps, density of state of CB, VB, current density, QE, and J-V. The chart below displays procedures for running SCAPS and its action panel simulation.

![Fig. 2: Drift map and accomplishment panel SCAPS [14.]](image)

Fig. 2: Drift map and accomplishment panel SCAPS [14].

The Work Method base Flow Chart SCAPS illustrates in what way SCAPS lunches by foundational the action pad. Usual the issue due to enter the layer of the organic perovskite construction to provide input parameters data. Specify the temperature, voltage, frequency, and certain points of the operating state. The defined behavior to be measured is J-V, QE, C-V and C-F, so using SCAPS is run to display simulated plots results of solar cell such as open circuit voltage, short circuit current, etc. Most structures of perovskites are based on FTO/ETL/perovskite/HTL/Pt where the transparent conducting oxide is referred to by the electron transport layer of FTO, ETL, and HTL. The heart of the solar cell is the hole transport materials and the Perovskite semiconductor (Active layer). In Figure 3, the energy bandgap used for this simulation is given.

![Fig. 3: Typical of simulation building and diagram of energy levels of various perovskites materials](image)

Fig. 3: Typical of simulation building and diagram of energy levels of various perovskites materials

3 Modeling and simulation

The following mathematical Poisson’s expressions were extracted by SCAPS-1D for modeling and simulation of Cells parameters, characteristics and quantum efficiency which are based on the [15]:

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \frac{\phi(x)}{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0} \left[ \rho_n(x) + n_D(x) + N_D - N_A - \rho_p - \rho_n \right] \quad (1)
\]

Here \( \varepsilon_r \) is relative and \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the vacuum dielectric permittivity, \( \Phi \) is electrostatic potential, ND, NA, are charged donor, acceptor impurities, and electron charge, \( \rho_n \) and \( \rho_p \) are electrons and holes distribution. continuous equations areas for electrons and holes [16]:

\[
\frac{d}{dx} J_n(x) - e \frac{\partial n(x)}{\partial t} - e \frac{\partial \rho_n(x)}{\partial t} = G(x) = R(x) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dx} J_p(x) + e \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial t} + e \frac{\partial \rho_p(x)}{\partial t} = G(x) = R(x) \quad (3)
\]
Jp,Jn,G(x) and R(x) are holes and electrons densities, generation and recombination rate [16]. This technique lets us calculate the open circuit voltage, current density, quantum efficiency, [16].

Table 1: Summary of parameters used for perovskite SCAPS modeling [17,18,19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameters</th>
<th>Cu2O</th>
<th>CH3NH3PbI3</th>
<th>PCBM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band gap (eV)</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron affinity (ev)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric permittivity</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB effective density of states (1/cm²)</td>
<td>2.02E+17</td>
<td>2.75E+18</td>
<td>2.2E+19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB effective density of states (1/cm²)</td>
<td>1.10E+19</td>
<td>3.9E+18</td>
<td>2.5E+19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron mobility (cm²/v.s)</td>
<td>2.00E+2</td>
<td>1.00E+1</td>
<td>2E-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole mobility (cm²/v.s)</td>
<td>8.00E+18</td>
<td>1.0E+1</td>
<td>2E-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: solar cells device Parameters used numerical analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left electrical properties (Pt.)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>surface recombinationVelocity of electron (cm/s)</td>
<td>10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface recombinationVelocity of hole (cm/s)</td>
<td>10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work function (ev) of Pt</td>
<td>5.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Right contact electrical properties

| Velocity of electron (cm/s) | 10³ |
| Velocity of hole (cm/s)     | 10³  |
| ITO glass, work function ITO (ev) | 4.4 |

4 Result and discussion

1. Effect layer thickness and Temperatures variation on the Cu2O/CH3NH3PbI3/PCBM solar cells

1.1 Effect Cu2O layer thickness on solar cells

The active layer has been established to get the best thickness which absorbs the highest number of photons and to create excitons which are e-h pairs. The thickness of perovskites layer ranged from 0.3μm to 1.8μm. whenever the thickness increase, the longer wavelength of illumination create amount of electron-hole pair generation. The back contact gets very close to depletion layer, by increasing the thickness of the absorber layer, and the back contact collects more electrons for recombination. Via these less electrons, the generation progression will participate and eventually lead to the Voc, Jsc increase, Decreased fill factor, and enhanced performance. The variance of PV parameters with the absorber layer thickness is seen in Figure 4. Due to the increased exciton performance, the graph shows the power conversion efficiency increase as we tune from the thin to thick absorber. But in the fill factor, there is a fast drop.

Table 3: Variant Thickness for Cu2O with parameters of solar cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Voc (V)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>F.F (%)</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>23.875</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9229</td>
<td>33.966</td>
<td>22.64</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>36.175</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>37.388</td>
<td>20.53</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.300</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>38.209</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>8.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.800</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>38.821</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.300</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>39.297</td>
<td>19.86</td>
<td>8.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.800</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>39.690</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>9.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.300</td>
<td>1.158</td>
<td>40.013</td>
<td>19.86</td>
<td>9.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.800</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>40.288</td>
<td>19.92</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.300</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>40.535</td>
<td>19.98</td>
<td>9.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.800</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>40.743</td>
<td>20.07</td>
<td>9.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.300</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>40.927</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.800</td>
<td>1.196</td>
<td>41.091</td>
<td>20.26</td>
<td>9.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.300</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>41.239</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>10.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.800</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>41.387</td>
<td>20.47</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.300</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>41.509</td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.800</td>
<td>1.214</td>
<td>41.580</td>
<td>20.71</td>
<td>10.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.300</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>41.684</td>
<td>20.82</td>
<td>10.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.800</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>41.752</td>
<td>20.95</td>
<td>10.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>35.850</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td>7.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>37.388</td>
<td>20.53</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2 Effect of the CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ layer thickness change on solar cells

The thin film thickness of the perovskites changed from 0.2µm to 0.6µm. Figure 4 shows the change of PV parameters with absorber layer thickness. As we shift from a thin to thick absorber one, the graph shows that efficiency, Voc, and Jsc are decreased. Yet an upgrade is in progress. Table number four displays the sketch figure data. Drawing data shows the optimum thickness for perovskite solar cells which is 0.2 micrometer as listed in table 4 where 0.2-micrometer thickness tallies to the efficiency of (10.77)%.

Table 4: Variation of Thickness for CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ with device parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness (µm) CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$</th>
<th>Voc (V)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>F.F (%)</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>41.876</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>10.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>35.001</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>26.186</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>19.540</td>
<td>21.39</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>14.967</td>
<td>25.78</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>11.843</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.120</td>
<td>9.728</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>1.117</td>
<td>8.344</td>
<td>43.07</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.117</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>47.73</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.3 the PCBM layer thickness

The PCBM is currently used as an electron transport material for the Perovskite solar cell. The alignment between the valence band (VB) for both materials ETM and perovskits, conduction band (CB) of the perovskite with PCBM due to its highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) levels, allowing for good electron transport to the PCBM layer. At the perovskite-PCBM interface, the blocking of holes occurred due to the lower PCBM HOMO compared to that of the perovskite valence band[20]. In this segment, we will analyze the lower PCBM HOMO compared to the perovskite valence band[20]. The effect of the PCBM layer’s thickness. One indicates that, as shown in Table 5, the ideal thickness for perovskite solar cells is 0.01 micrometer, where 0.01 micrometer thickness matches the efficiency of the solar cells of (13.42)%.

Table 5: Variant PCBM thickness with solar cells parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness (µm) PCBM</th>
<th>Voc (V)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>F.F (%)</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>37.194</td>
<td>42.27</td>
<td>11.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>37.472</td>
<td>44.07</td>
<td>12.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>37.944</td>
<td>45.33</td>
<td>13.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>38.160</td>
<td>45.77</td>
<td>13.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>38.142</td>
<td>45.83</td>
<td>13.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>38.142</td>
<td>45.83</td>
<td>13.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>38.142</td>
<td>45.83</td>
<td>13.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4 Effect of annealing temperatures

Simulation I-V characteristic results such as Power conversion efficiency(CE), FillFactor, open circuit voltage(Voc), of the perovskite solar cells device with fluctuating temperature as shown in Table 6 where the highest efficiency is 47.49% and the other important parameter such as Jsc=46.214 mA/cm², FF 73.52= % and Voc =1.397 is achieved at the temperature of 333.15 K. Consequently, the best outcome at very high levels. The temperature is appropriate for working in a vacuum. When the temperature is decreased, Due to the decrease in the generation of electron-hole pairs in the perovskite materials with increasing temperature, the PCE, Voc and Jsc degrees are from 333.15 K to 233.15 K, as shown in Illustration. The open-circuit voltage steadily decreases with a decrease in Figure 7. Due to the regulation of the temperature, the performance can be modified by temperature.

Table 6: The parameter of the Cu₂O/CH₃NH₃PbI₃/PCBM heterojunction solar cell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Voc (V)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>F.F (%)</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>333.15</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>46.214</td>
<td>73.52</td>
<td>47.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313.15</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>46.214</td>
<td>71.74</td>
<td>42.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>46.214</td>
<td>70.89</td>
<td>38.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273.15</td>
<td>1.056</td>
<td>46.213</td>
<td>71.19</td>
<td>34.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253.15</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>46.205</td>
<td>70.73</td>
<td>31.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233.15</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>46.121</td>
<td>68.80</td>
<td>28.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.5 Defect State of the Interface Layer (Cu₂O/CH₃NH₃PbI₃/PCBM).

defect layer has been considered for the simulations of the proposed CH₃NH₃PbI₃ solar cell structures. The study has been simulating numerically for the defect density of state from 1 × 10¹⁰ cm⁻³ to 1 × 10¹⁸ cm⁻³. Fig 8 displays the result of defect density versus Isc, Voc, FF, and efficiency for Cu₂O/CH₃NH₃PbI₃/PCBM solar cells. The graphs show that, the Voc, Jsc, FF, and η falling with growing defect density. When the defect density increases up to 1 × 10¹⁸ cm⁻³, a decline in efficiency is detected. With growing defect density, the recombination rate also rises which in turn decreases the efficiency. So, it can be understood that the results of defect density of 1 × 10¹⁰ cm⁻³ is best for device simulation (η=47.49%).

**Table 7: Variation of defect for Cu₂O/CH₃NH₃PbI₃/PCBM with device parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defect Nt(1/cm³)</th>
<th>Voc (V)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹⁰</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>46.214</td>
<td>73.52</td>
<td>47.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹¹</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>46.214</td>
<td>71.74</td>
<td>42.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹²</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>46.214</td>
<td>70.89</td>
<td>38.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹³</td>
<td>1.056</td>
<td>46.213</td>
<td>71.19</td>
<td>34.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹⁴</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>46.205</td>
<td>70.73</td>
<td>31.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹⁵</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>46.121</td>
<td>68.80</td>
<td>28.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹⁶</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>45.296</td>
<td>36.31</td>
<td>24.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹⁷</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>38.160</td>
<td>45.77</td>
<td>13.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10¹⁸</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>12.241</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8: Defect density of the HTM/CH₃NH₃PbI₃/ETM layer.

1.6. Effects of the CB, VB density of State of the (Cu₂O/CH₃NH₃PbI₃/PCBM).

A higher density of localized states decreases the quasi-Fermi level separation and contributes to lower Voc values. In relation to the Voc value, the effect of carrier mobility is less direct since there is now direct current extraction in open-circuit conditions. The mobility of the carrier will affect the recombination process, which must equal the rate of generation in open-circuit and steady recombination. Due to the lower carrier mobility, the Voc decreases due to a higher density of states along with Jsc and FF values. [21]. The optimum efficiency value depends on the density of states for CB & VB (Fig 9 and 10). The highest values of Jsc, FF and η % were found at CB=1*10¹⁹, VB=1*10²⁰. The Voc also reduction with rise in the CB and VB density of states from 1.339 to 1.187 v and obtain high efficiency at CB=1*10¹⁹, VB=1*10²⁰, η=42.83%.
Table 8: Variation of CB for Cu$_2$O/CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$/PCBM with device parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CB</th>
<th>Voc (V)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>F.F (%)</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{19}$</td>
<td>1.339</td>
<td>46.746</td>
<td>72.59</td>
<td>45.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{20}$</td>
<td>1.280</td>
<td>46.786</td>
<td>72.02</td>
<td>43.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{21}$</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>46.798</td>
<td>68.46</td>
<td>39.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{22}$</td>
<td>1.204</td>
<td>43.879</td>
<td>51.26</td>
<td>27.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{23}$</td>
<td>1.194</td>
<td>25.356</td>
<td>39.50</td>
<td>11.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{24}$</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>9.397</td>
<td>37.74</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9: CB density of the HTM/CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$/ETM layer

Fig. 10: VB density of the HTM/CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$/ETM layer

Table 9: Variation of CB for Cu$_2$O/CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$/PCBM with device parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VB</th>
<th>Voc (V)</th>
<th>Jsc (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>F.F (%)</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{20}$</td>
<td>1.280</td>
<td>46.78</td>
<td>71.52</td>
<td>42.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{21}$</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>46.799</td>
<td>70.39</td>
<td>40.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{22}$</td>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>46.805</td>
<td>69.15</td>
<td>37.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{23}$</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>46.806</td>
<td>67.80</td>
<td>34.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{24}$</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>46.807</td>
<td>66.33</td>
<td>32.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{25}$</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>46.807</td>
<td>64.70</td>
<td>29.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*10$^{26}$</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>46.807</td>
<td>62.91</td>
<td>27.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Conclusions

It can conclude that, the thickness have been changed for PCBM, NiO, and CH3NH3PbI3 were studied in each semiconductor substance and after in addition to change with a variation of degree of temperature and defect state to catch tunable condition searching for greatest efficiency of the device NiO/CH3NH3PbI3/PCBM solar cells which reached around 47% which is best device perovskites structure and highest efficiency comparing with the device of NiO/CH3NH3PbI3/PCBM solar cells reaches also around 47% at defect $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{cm}^2$. In addition to that all rest of parameter device enhanced as result to use PCBM as electron transport materials, and NiO as Hole transport layer.
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