

A Survey on the Oscillation of Differential Equations with Several Non-Monotone Arguments

G. M. Moremedi¹ and I. P. Stavroulakis^{1,2,*}

¹ Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Africa (UNISA), 0003 South Africa

² Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina, 451 10 Ioannina, HELLAS, Greece

Received: 2 Jun. 2018, Revised: 12 Jul. 2018, Accepted: 17 Jul. 2018

Published online: 1 Sep. 2018

Abstract: Consider the first-order linear differential equation with several retarded arguments $x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)x(\tau_i(t)) = 0, t \geq t_0$, where the functions $p_i, \tau_i \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \tau_i(t) \leq t$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_i(t) = \infty$. A survey of the most interesting oscillation conditions is presented. An example illustrating the results is given.

Keywords: Oscillation, retarded, differential equations, non-monotone arguments.

1 Introduction

Consider the first-order linear differential equation with several non-monotone retarded arguments

$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)x(\tau_i(t)) = 0, t \geq t_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where the functions $p_i, \tau_i \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, (here $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$), $\tau_i(t) \leq t$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_i(t) = \infty$.

Let $T_0 \in [t_0, +\infty)$, $\tau(t) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{\tau_i(t)\}$ and $\tau_{-1}(t) = \sup\{s : \tau(s) \leq t\}$. By a solution of the equation (1.1) we understand a function $x \in C([T_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$, continuously differentiable on $[\tau_{-1}(T_0), +\infty)$ and that satisfies (1.1) for $t \geq \tau_{-1}(T_0)$. Such a solution is called *oscillatory* if it has arbitrarily large zeros, and otherwise it is called *non-oscillatory*.

In the special case where $m = 1$ equation (1.1) reduces to the equation

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0, t \geq t_0, \quad (1.2)$$

where the functions $p, \tau \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\tau(t) \leq t$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$.

For the general theory of these equations the reader is referred to [13,16, 18, 19, 32].

The problem of establishing sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions to the differential equations

(1.1) and (1.2) has been the subject of many investigations. See, for example, [1-40] and the references cited therein.

In the case of monotone arguments, a survey of the most interesting oscillation conditions for Eq.(1.2) can be found in [36]. While in the general case of non-monotone arguments we present the following interesting sufficient oscillation conditions.

In 1994, Koplatadze and Kvinikadze [26] established the following: Assume

$$\sigma(t) := \sup_{s \leq t} \tau(s), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Clearly $\sigma(t)$ is non-decreasing and $\tau(t) \leq \sigma(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ exist such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) \exp \left\{ \int_{\sigma(s)}^{\sigma(t)} p(\xi) \psi_k(\xi) d\xi \right\} ds > 1 - c(\alpha), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\alpha := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e}$,

$$\psi_1(t) = 0, \psi_k(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(\xi) \psi_{k-1}(\xi) d\xi \right\}, k = 2, 3, \dots \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$c(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha > \frac{1}{e}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2} \right) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{e}. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: ipstav@uoi.gr

Then all solutions of equation (1.2) oscillate.

In 2011 Braverman and Karpuz [6] derived the following sufficient oscillation condition for Eq.(1.2)

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} p(\xi) d\xi \right\} ds > 1, \quad (1.7)$$

while in 2014 Stavroulakis [37] improved the above condition as follows:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} p(\xi) d\xi \right\} ds > 1 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}) \quad (1.8)$$

In 2018 Chatzarakis, Purnaras and Stavroulakis [9] improved further these conditions as follows: Assume that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds > 1, \quad (1.9)$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds > 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{e}$, and

$$P_k(t) = p(t) \left[1 + \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^u p(u) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(u)}^u P_{k-1}(\xi) d\xi \right) du \right) ds \right]$$

with $P_0(t) = p(t)$. Then all solutions of Eq. (1.2) oscillate. Concerning the differential equation (1.1) with several non-monotone arguments the following related oscillation results have been recently published.

Assume that there exist non-decreasing functions $\sigma_i \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\tau_i(t) \leq \sigma_i(t) \leq t, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (1.11)$$

In 2015 Infante, Kopladatze and Stavroulakis [21] proved that if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^m \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\sigma_j(t)}^t p_i(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(s)}^{\sigma_j(t)} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\xi) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(\xi)}^{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) du \right) d\xi \right) ds \right]^{1/m} > \frac{1}{m^m}, \quad (1.12)$$

then all solutions of Eq. (1.1) oscillate.

Also in 2015 Kopladatze [27] improved the above condition as follows: Let there exist some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^m \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\sigma_j(t)}^t p_i(s) \exp \left(m \int_{\tau_i(s)}^{\sigma_j(t)} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^m p_\ell(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \psi_k(\xi) d\xi \right) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} > \frac{1}{m^m} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(\alpha_i) \right], \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$\psi_1(t) = 0, \quad \psi_i(t) = \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\tau_j(t)}^t \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^m p_\ell(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \psi_{i-1}(s) ds \right), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$0 < \alpha_i := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma_i(t)}^t p_i(s) ds < \frac{1}{e}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (1.14)$$

and

$$c_i(\alpha_i) = \frac{1 - \alpha_i - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha_i - \alpha_i^2}}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (1.15)$$

then all solutions of Eq. (1.1) oscillate.

In 2016 Braverman, Chatzarakis and Stavroulakis [7] obtained the following iterative sufficient oscillation conditions

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(u)) du > 1, \quad (1.16)$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(u)) du > 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, \quad (1.17)$$

or

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(u)) du > \frac{1}{e} \quad (1.18)$$

where

$$h(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} h_i(t) \text{ and } h_i(t) = \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \tau_i(s), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

$$0 < \alpha := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e} \quad (1.19)$$

and

$$a_1(t, s) = \exp \left(\int_s^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) du \right),$$

$$a_{r+1}(t, s) = \exp \left(\int_s^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) a_r(u, \tau_i(u)) du \right), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also, in 2016 Akca, Chatzarakis and Stavroulakis [1] improved that result replacing condition (1.8) by the iterative condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) a_r(h(u), \tau_i(u)) du > \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \quad (1.20)$$

where λ_0 is the smaller root of the equation $\lambda = e^{\alpha\lambda}$,

$$0 < \alpha := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e}$$

and $\tau(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{\tau_i(t)\}$.

In 2017 Chatzarakis [8] derived the following: Assume that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t P(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{h(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds > 1, \quad (1.21)$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t P(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{h(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds > 1 - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, \quad (1.22)$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t P(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^t P_k(u) du \right) ds > \frac{2}{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}, \quad (1.23)$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t P(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(s)} P_k(u) du \right) ds > \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, \quad (1.24)$$

or

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t P(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(s)} P_k(u) du \right) ds > \frac{1}{e}, \quad (1.25)$$

where $h(t), \tau(t), \alpha$ are defined as above, λ_1 is the smaller root of the transcendental equation $\lambda = e^{\alpha\lambda}$, and

$$P_k(t) = P(t) \left[1 + \int_{\tau(t)}^t P(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^t P(u) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(u)}^u P_{k-1}(\xi) d\xi \right) du \right) ds \right]$$

with $P_0(t) = P(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)$. Then all solutions of Eq. (1.1) oscillate.

In 2018 Attia et al [3] established the following oscillation conditions.

Assume that

$$0 < \rho := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t \sum_{k=1}^n P_k(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e},$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{g(t)}^t Q(v) dv + c(\rho) e^{\int_{g(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(s) ds} \right) > 1,$$

where

$$Q(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(t)$$

$$\int_{\tau_i(t)}^t P_k(s) e^{\int_{g_k(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(s) ds + (\lambda(\rho) - \varepsilon) \int_{\tau_k(s)}^{g_k(t)} \sum_{\ell=1}^n P_\ell(u) du} ds,$$

$$\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda(\rho)),$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{g(t)}^t Q_1(v) dv + c(\rho) e^{\int_{g(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(s) ds} \right) > 1,$$

where

$$Q_1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(t)$$

$$\int_{\tau_i(t)}^t P_k(s) e^{\int_{g_k(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(s) ds + \int_{\tau_k(s)}^{g_k(t)} \sum_{\ell=1}^n (\lambda(q_\ell) - \varepsilon_\ell) P_\ell(u) du} ds, \quad \varepsilon_\ell \in (0, \lambda(q_\ell)),$$

and

$$q_\ell = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_\ell(t)}^t P_\ell(s) ds, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \int_{g_j(t)}^t R_k(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n c(\beta_k)}{n^n} e^{\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{g_k(t)}^t \sum_{\ell=1}^n P_\ell(s) ds} > \frac{1}{n^n},$$

where

$$R_k(s) = e^{\int_{g_k(s)}^s \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(u) du}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P_i(s) \int_{\tau_i(s)}^s P_k(u) e^{(\lambda(\rho) - \varepsilon) \int_{\tau_k(u)}^{g_k(s)} \sum_{\ell=1}^n P_\ell(v) dv} du, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, \lambda(\rho)),$$

and

$$0 < \beta_k := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma_i(t)}^t P_i(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e}.$$

Then Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory.

Recently Bereketoglu et al [4] improved the above conditions as follows:

Theorem 1. Assume that there exist non-decreasing functions $\sigma_i \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that (1.11) is satisfied and for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^m \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\sigma_j(t)}^t P_i(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(s)}^{\sigma_j(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds \right) \right]^{1/m} > \frac{1}{m^m}, \quad (1.26)$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^m \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\sigma_j(t)}^t P_i(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(s)}^{\sigma_j(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds \right) \right]^{1/m} > \frac{1}{m^m} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(\alpha_i) \right], \quad (1.27)$$

where

$$P_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m P_j(t) \left\{ 1 + m \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\sigma_j(t)}^t P_i(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(s)}^t P_{k-1}(u) du \right) ds \right] \right\},$$

with

$$P_0(t) = m \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^m P_\ell(t) \right]^{1/m},$$

α_i is given by (1.14) and $c_i(\alpha_i)$ by (1.15). Then all solutions of Eq.(1.1) oscillate.

Remark. It is clear that the left-hand sides of both conditions (1.26) and (1.27) are identically the same and also the right-hand side of (1.27) reduces to (1.26) when $c_i(\alpha_i) = 0$. So it seems that both conditions are the same when $c_i(\alpha_i) = 0$. One may notice, however, that the condition (1.14) with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1/e$ is required in (1.27) but not in (1.26).

In the case of monotone arguments we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let τ_i be non-decreasing functions and for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^m \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\tau_j(t)}^t p_i(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(s)}^{\tau_j(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds \right]^{1/m} > \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m^m} \\ \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{m^m} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(\alpha_i) \right] \end{cases}$$

where

$$P_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t) \left\{ 1 + m \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \int_{\tau_j(t)}^t p_i(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(s)}^t P_{k-1}(u) du \right) ds \right]^{1/m} \right\},$$

with

$$P_0(t) = m \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^m p_\ell(t) \right]^{1/m},$$

$$\alpha_i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_i(t)}^t p_i(s) ds, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and

$$c_i(\alpha_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha_i > \frac{1}{e} \\ \frac{1 - \alpha_i - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha_i - \alpha_i^2}}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{e}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Then all solutions of Eq.(1.1) oscillate.

2 Corollaries and Examples

For the case $m = 2$, Eq. (1.1) reduces to the equation

$$x'(t) + p_1(t)x(\tau_1(t)) + p_2(t)x(\tau_2(t)) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

From Theorem 1 the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 1. Assume that there exist non-decreasing functions $\sigma_i \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that (1.11) is satisfied for $i = 1, 2$, and for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^2 \left[\prod_{i=1}^2 \int_{\sigma_j(t)}^t p_i(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(s)}^{\sigma_j(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds \right]^{1/2} > \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \\ \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{4} \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^2 c_i(\alpha_i) \right] \end{cases}$$

where

$$P_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 p_j(t) \left\{ 1 + 2 \left[\prod_{i=1}^2 \int_{\sigma_j(t)}^t p_i(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_i(s)}^t P_{k-1}(u) du \right) ds \right]^{1/2} \right\},$$

with

$$P_0(t) = 2\sqrt{p_1(t)p_2(t)},$$

and for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\alpha_i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma_i(t)}^t p_i ds,$$

$$c_i(\alpha_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha_i > \frac{1}{e} \\ \frac{1 - \alpha_i - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha_i - \alpha_i^2}}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{e}. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Then all solutions of Eq.(2.1) oscillate.

Moreover, in the case of the equation (1.2)

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0,$$

we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Assume that there exists a non-decreasing function $\sigma(t)$ such that $\tau(t) \leq \sigma(t) \leq t$ and for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} P_k(u) du \right) ds > \begin{cases} 1 \\ \text{or} \\ 1 - c(\alpha) \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$P_k(t) = p(t) \left\{ 1 + \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^t P_{k-1}(u) du \right) ds \right\}, \quad P_0(t) = p(t),$$

$$\alpha = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) ds$$

and

$$c(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha > \frac{1}{e} \\ \frac{1 - \alpha - \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha - \alpha^2}}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{e}. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Then all solutions of Eq.(1.2) oscillate.

The following example (cf. [6],[21]) is given to illustrate our results. It is to be pointed out that in this example it is shown that our conditions essentially improve related known conditions in the literature.

Example 1.(Cf. [6],[21]) Consider the equation

$$x'(t) + px(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad p > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

with the retarded argument

$$\tau(t) = \begin{cases} t-1, & t \in [3n, 3n+1], \\ -3t+(12n+3), & t \in [3n+1, 3n+2], \\ 5t-(12n+13), & t \in [3n+2, 3n+3]. \end{cases}$$

For this equation, as in [6,21], one may choose the function

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} t-1, & t \in [3n, 3n+1], \\ 3n, & t \in [3n+1, 3n+2.6], \\ 5t-(12n+13), & t \in [3n+2.6, 3n+3]. \end{cases}$$

If we choose $t_n = 3n + 3$, then for $k = 1$, we find

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} P_1(u) du \right) ds \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{3n+2}^{3n+3} p \exp \left(\int_{5s-(12n+13)}^{3n+2} P_1(u) du \right) ds,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(t) &= p \left\{ 1 + \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^t pdu \right) ds \right\} \\ &= p \left\{ 1 + \int_{3n+2}^{3n+3} p \exp \left(\int_{5s-(12n+13)}^{3n+3} pdu \right) ds \right\} \\ &= p \left(1 + \frac{e^{6p} - e^p}{5} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} P_1(u) du \right) ds \geq \frac{p}{5P_1} (e^{5P_1} - 1).$$

For $p = 0.27$, $P_1 \approx 0.472129$, and so

$$\frac{p}{5P_1} (e^{5P_1} - 1) \approx 1.09775 > 1.$$

Thus the condition (2.3) is satisfied and therefore all solutions of Eq.(2.5) oscillate.

Observe, however, that when we consider the conditions stated in [21], [27], [7], [1], [6] and [37] for the above equation (2.5), we obtain the following:

1. The condition (1.12) reduces to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(\xi)}^{\xi} pdu \right) d\xi \right) ds > 1, \tag{2.6}$$

and the choice of $t_n = 3n + 3$, as in [21, Example 4.2], leads to the inequality

$$\frac{(e^{5pe^p} - 1)}{5e^p} > 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Observe, however, that for $p = 0.27$,

$$\frac{(e^{5pe^p} - 1)}{5e^p} \approx 0.742275 < 1.$$

Therefore the condition (2.7) is not satisfied.

2. The condition (1.13), for $k = 2$, reduces to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} p \psi_2(\xi) d\xi \right) ds > 1 - c(\alpha), \tag{2.8}$$

where $\psi_2(\xi) = 1$, that is, to the condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} pd\xi \right) ds > 1 - c(\alpha).$$

Observe that, for $t_n = 3n + 3$,

$$\int_{\sigma(3n+3)}^{3n+3} p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(3n+3)} pd\xi \right) ds = \int_{3n+2}^{3n+3} p \exp \left(\int_{5s-(12n+13)}^{3n+2} pd\xi \right) ds = \frac{e^{5p} - 1}{5},$$

while

$$\alpha = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p(s) ds = p$$

and

$$c(\alpha) = c(p) = \frac{1 - p - \sqrt{1 - 2p - p^2}}{2}.$$

For $p = 0.27$, we find

$$\frac{e^{5p} - 1}{5} \approx 0.571485,$$

while the right-hand side

$$1 - c(p) \approx 0.946086.$$

Therefore the condition (2.8) is not satisfied.

3. The condition (1.16) for $r = 1$ reduces to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^t pa_1(h(t), \tau(s)) ds > 1, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$h(t) = \sigma(t) \text{ and } a_1(t, s) = \exp \left(\int_s^t pdu \right).$$

That is, to the condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} pdu \right) ds > 1, \tag{2.10}$$

As before, for $t_n = 3n + 3$ and $p = 0.27$,

$$\frac{e^{5p} - 1}{5} \approx 0.571485 \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore the condition (2.9) is not satisfied.

4. Condition (1.20) for $r = 1$ reduces to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} p d\xi \right) ds > \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_0}{\lambda_0}, \quad (2.12)$$

where λ_0 is the smaller root of the equation $\lambda = e^{p\lambda}$. As before, for $t_n = 3n + 3$ and $p = 0.27$,

$$\frac{e^{5p} - 1}{5} \approx 0.571485,$$

while

$$\frac{1 + \ln \lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \approx 0.937188.$$

Therefore the condition (2.12) is not satisfied.

5. Similarly, for $t_n = 3n + 3$ and $p = 0.27$, we have

$$\frac{e^{5p} - 1}{5} \approx 0.571485 < 1,$$

and therefore the condition (1.7) is not satisfied.

6. Finally, the condition (1.8) reduces to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^t p \exp \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{\sigma(t)} p d\xi \right) ds > 1 - \frac{1 - p - \sqrt{1 - 2p - p^2}}{2}$$

and for $t_n = 3n + 3$ and $p = 0.27$, as before, we have

$$\frac{e^{5p} - 1}{5} \approx 0.571485$$

while

$$1 - \frac{1 - p - \sqrt{1 - 2p - p^2}}{2} \approx 0.946086.$$

Therefore this condition is not satisfied.

We conclude, therefore, that for $p = 0.27$ no one of the conditions (2.6), (2.8) for $k = 2$, (2.9) and (2.12) for $r = 1$, (1.16) and (1.20) is satisfied.

It should be also mentioned that not only for this value of $p = 0.27$ but for all values of $p \in [0.27, 0.3]$

$$\frac{p}{5P_1} \left(e^{5P_1} - 1 \right) > 1$$

and therefore all solutions of (2.5) oscillate. Observe, however, that for $p = 0.3$

$$\frac{(e^{5pe^p} - 1)}{5e^p} \approx 0.974101 < 1,$$

and

$$\frac{e^{5p} - 1}{5} \approx 0.696337 < 1$$

$$\frac{e^{5p} - 1}{5} \approx 0.696337 < 0.912993 \approx \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_0}{\lambda_0}$$

and therefore for $p \in [0.27, 0.3]$ no one of the conditions (2.6), (2.8) for $k = 2$, (2.9) and (2.12) for $r = 1$, (1.16) and (1.20) is satisfied.

References

- [1] H. Akca, G. E. Chatzarakis and I.P. Stavroulakis, An oscillation criterion for delay differential equations with several non-monotone arguments, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, Vol. 59, pp. 101-108 (2016), (arXiv: 1703/04144v1[math.CA]).
- [2] O. Arino, I. Gyori and A. Jawhari, Oscillation criteria in delay equations, *J. Differential Equations*, Vol. 53, pp. 115-123 (1984).
- [3] E.R. Attia, V. Benekas, H.A.El-Morshedy and I.P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation of first-order linear differential equations with several non-monotone delays, *Open Math*, Vol. 16, pp. 83-94 (2018).
- [4] H. Bereketoglu, F. Karakoc, G.S. Oztepe and I.P. Stavroulakis, Oscillations of first-order differential equations with several non-monotone retarded arguments, *Georgian Math. J.* (to appear).
- [5] L. Berezansky and E. Braverman, On some constants for oscillation and stability of delay equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 139, pp. 4017-4026 (2011).
- [6] E. Braverman and B. Karpuz, On oscillation of differential and difference equations with non-monotone delays, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, Vol. 218, pp. 3880-3887 (2011).
- [7] E. Braverman, G. E. Chatzarakis and I. P. Stavroulakis, Iterative oscillation tests for differential equations with several non-monotone arguments, *Adv. Differential Equations*, Vol. 2016:87, pp.18 (2016).
- [8] G.E.Chatzarakis, Oscillations caused by several non-monotone deviating arguments, *Diff. Equ. Appl. Vol. 9*, pp. 285-310 (2017).
- [9] G.E.Chatzarakis, I.K. Purnaras and I.P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation tests for differential equations with deviating arguments, *Adv. Math. Sci, Appl. Vol. 27*, pp. 1-28 (2018).
- [10] H. A. El-Morshedy and E. R. Attia, New oscillation criterion for delay differential equations with non-monotone arguments, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, Vol. 54, pp.54-59 (2016).
- [11] A. Elbert and I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillations of first order differential equations with deviating arguments, *Recent trends in differential equations*, World Sci. Ser. Appl. Anal., World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, Vol.1, pp. 163-178 (1992),.
- [12] A. Elbert and I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for delay differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.123, pp. 1503-1510 (1995).

- [13] L. H. Erbe, Q. Kong and B. G. Zhang, *Oscillation Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 190, Vol.190, (1995).
- [14] L. H. Erbe and B. G. Zhang, , Oscillation for first order linear differential equations with deviating arguments, *Differential Integral Equations*, Vol.1, pp. 305-314 (1988).
- [15] N. Fukagai and T. Kusano, Oscillation theory of first order functional differential equations with deviating arguments, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl*, Vol. 136, No.1, pp. 95-117 (1984).
- [16] K. Gopalsamy, *Stability and Oscillations in Delay Differential Equations of Population Dynamics*, Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group Dordrecht, Vol.74, (1992).
- [17] M. K. Grammatikopoulos, R. G. Kopladatze and I. P. Stavroulakis, On the oscillation of solutions of first order differential equations with retarded arguments, *Georgian Math. J.*, Vol.10, pp. 63-76 (2003).
- [18] I. Gyori and G. Ladas, *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs , Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [19] J. K. Hale, *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, Vol. 3, 1977.
- [20] B. R. Hunt and J. A. Yorke, When all solutions of $x' = \sum q_i(t)x(t - T_i(t))$ oscillate, *J. Differential Equations*, Vol.53, pp. 139-145 (1984).
- [21] G. Infante, R. Kopladatze and I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation criteria for differential equations with several retarded arguments, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* Vol. 58, pp. 347-364 (2015).
- [22] J. Jaroš and I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation tests for delay equations, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, Vol.29, pp. 197-207 (1999).
- [23] C. Jian, Oscillation of linear differential equations with deviating argument, *Math. Practice Theory*, Vol.1, pp. 32-41 (1991) (in Chinese).
- [24] M. Kon, Y. G. Sficas and I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation criteria for delay equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.128, pp. 2989-2997 (2000).
- [25] R. G. Kopladatze and T. A. Chanturija, On the oscillatory and monotonic solutions of first order differential equations with deviating arguments, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, Vol.18, pp. 1463-1465 (1982).
- [26] R. G. Kopladatze and G. Kvinikadze, On the oscillation of solutions of first order delay differential inequalities and equations, *Georgian Math. J.*, Vol. 1, pp. 675-685 (1994).
- [27] R. G. Kopladatze, Specific properties of solutions of first order differential equations with several delay arguments, *J. Contemp. Math. Anal.* Vol. 50, pp. 229-235 (2015).
- [28] M. K. Kwong, Oscillation of first-order delay equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol.156, pp. 274-286 (1991).
- [29] G. Ladas, Sharp conditions for oscillations caused by delay, *Applicable Anal.*, Vol .9, pp. 93-98 (1979).
- [30] G. Ladas, V. Lakshmikantham and J. S. Papadakis, Oscillations of higher-order retarded differential equations generated by retarded arguments, *Delay and Functional Differential Equations and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, pp. 219-231 (1972).
- [31] G. Ladas and I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillations caused by several retarded and advanced arguments, *J. Differential Equations*, Vol.44, pp. 134-152 (1982).
- [32] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham and B. G. Zhang, *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Vol. 110, (1987).
- [33] B. Li, Oscillations of first order delay differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.124, pp. 3729-3737 (1996).
- [34] A. D. Myshkis, Linear homogeneous differential equations of first order with deviating arguments, *Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.)*, A. D.ol.5, pp. 160-162 (1950),(in Russian).
- [35] G.M. Moremedi and I.P. Stavroulakis, A survey on the oscillation of solutions of differential equations with a monotone or non-monotone argument, *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* Vol. 230, Springer, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-75647-9_36..
- [36] Y.G. Sficas and I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation criteria for first-order delay equations, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, Vol. 35, pp. 239-246 (2003).
- [37] I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation criteria for delay and difference equations with non-monotone arguments, *Appl. Math. Comput.* Vol. 226, pp. 661-672 (2014).
- [38] Z. C. Wang, I. P. Stavroulakis and X. Z. Qian, A survey on the oscillation of solutions of first order linear differential equations with deviating arguments, *Appl. Math. E-Notes*, Vol. 2, pp. 171-191 (2002).
- [39] J. S. Yu, Z. C. Wang, B. G. Zhang and X. Z. Qian, Oscillations of differential equations with deviating arguments, *Panamer. Math. J.*, Vol. 2, pp. 59-78 (1992).
- [40] Y. Zhou and Y. H. Yu, On the oscillation of solutions of first order differential equations with deviating arguments, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (English Ser.)*, **15**.



G. M. Moremedi

received the PhD degree in Applied Mathematics at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. Her research interests are in the areas of applied mathematics and mathematical physics

including the mathematical methods and models for complex systems, biomathematics fluid mechanics and numerical methods . She has published research

articles in reputed international journals of Non-Linear Mechanics, *QUAESTIONES MATHEMATICAE*, *PRAMANA* journal of physics, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, *Journal of Biological Dynamics*, *Discr. Dyn. Nat. and Soc Appl. Math. Inf.Sci.* She is referee for the *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations (EJQTDE)*, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Applied Mathematical Modelling* guest editor of mathematical journals.



I. P. Stavroulakis holds a Master's Degree in Mathematics (City Univ. of New York), a Ph.D. in Mathematics (Univ. of Ioannina) and a DOCTOR HONORIS CAUSA (Univ. of Gjirokastra). His research interests are in the areas of Qualitative Theory

of Differential Equations; Retarded and Advanced Differential Equations; Difference Equations; Functional Equations; Dynamic Equations on Time Scales; Partial Differential Equations. He is the author of 3 books and more than 130 research papers, most of them of high quality, published in superior journals with excellent reviews from the editors and/or referees and cited very often (more than 2500 citations). He has been invited as a member of the scientific/organizing committee and/or as a keynote/plenary/invited speaker at many international conferences and universities and delivered more than 130 lectures at 100 Universities in 30 countries and collaborated with (more than 50) researchers around the world. He is also Editor-in-Chief/Managing Editor/Editor in many mathematical journals.