

On a Third-Order p -Laplacian Boundary Value Problem at Resonance on the Half-Line

S. A. Iyase¹ and S. A. Bishop^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Covenant University, P.M.B. 1023, KM 10 Idiroko Road, Canaan Land, Ota, Ogun state, Nigeria

²Department of Mathematics, University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos, Nigeria

Received: 2 Aug. 2020, Revised: 26 Jan. 2021, Accepted: 6 Feb. 2021

Published online: 1 May 2021

Abstract: In this paper, a one-dimensional third-order p -Laplacian boundary value problem at resonance on the half-line is studied. We apply the extension of Mawhin’s coincidence degree theory due to Ge and Ren to obtain the existence of solutions. The results do not only generalize but also improve some known results on third-order p -Laplacian boundary value problems at resonance.

Keywords: Half-line, integral boundary condition, p -Laplacian, Resonance, Third order boundary value problem.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the third-order nonlinear boundary value problem with a p -Laplacian of the form:

$$(d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t)))' = h(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t)) \text{ a.e } t \in (0, \infty), \tag{1}$$

satisfying

$$u'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} u(t) dt, u(0) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t))) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Where the right hand side of (1) satisfies the Carathéodory condition with respect to

$$L^1[0, \infty), 0 \leq \beta_i < \infty, \beta_i \in \mathfrak{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \eta_i^2 = 2.$$

$$d \in [C[0, \infty) \cap C^2(0, \infty)], d(t) > 0 \forall t \geq 0.$$

$$\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2} s, p > 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \eta_i < \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Boundary value problems on the half-line have various applications in plasma physics and the theory of drain flows. Integral boundary conditions, on the other hand exist in applications such as, population dynamics, blood flow models, heat conduction, underground water flow, etc. The boundary value problem

$$(q(t)u''(t))' = g(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t)), t \in (0, \infty)$$

$$u'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \int_0^{\xi_i} u(t) dt, u(0) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)u''(t) = 0$$

was studied by Iyase [6] when $p=2$ using the Mawhin’s coincidence degree arguments. However, when $p \neq 2$,

$\varphi_p(u)$ is no longer linear with respect to u . In this case, Mawhin’s continuation theorem cannot be applied directly as the case in [6]. From the existing results in the literature for the resonance cases, some results on second order boundary value problems with a p -Laplacian have been established. On the other hand, third-order boundary value problems with a p -Laplacian satisfying integral boundary conditions on the half-line have not received much attention. However, there have been some studies on higher order boundary value problems with a p -Laplacian, on bounded domains. For some results on boundary value problems with a p -Laplacian, see, [2,4,8,10,12,13,14,15] and the references therein.

The boundary value problem (1)-(2) is called a problem at resonance if

$Tu = (d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t)))' = 0$ has nontrivial solutions under the boundary conditions (2), i.e when $\dim \ker T \geq 1$. When $\ker T = 0$, the differential operator is invertible. In this case, the problem is said to be at non-resonance. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some background definitions and technical results. Section 3 is devoted to proving the main existence results. In Section 4 an example is presented to illustrate our result.

* Corresponding author e-mail: sheila.bishop@covenantuniversity.edu.ng

2 Some definitions and Technical results

In this section, we introduce some definitions and lemmas that will be used in the subsequent sections which include Ge-Ren's continuation theorem.

Lemma 2.1 [5] Let $\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$. Then φ_p has the following properties

- (i) φ_p is continuous, monotonically increasing and invertible with $\varphi_p^{-1} = \varphi_q, q > 1$ a real constant such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.
- (ii) $\varphi_p(u) = \varphi_p(|u|), u\varphi_p(u) \geq 0$, for $u \in \mathfrak{R}$.
- (iii) $\varphi_p(u+v) \leq (\varphi_p(u) + \varphi_p(v)), 1 \leq p < 2$
- (iv) $\varphi_p(u+v) \leq 2^{p-2}(\varphi_p(u) + \varphi_p(v)), p \geq 2$.

Definition 2.2 The map $h : [0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is L^1 -Carathéodory if the following conditions hold

- (i) for each $u \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, the mapping $t \rightarrow f(t, u)$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- (ii) for a.e $t \in [0, \infty)$, the mapping $u \rightarrow f(t, u)$ is continuous on \mathfrak{R}^n .
- (iii) for each $r > 0$, there exists an $\alpha_r \in L^1[0, \infty)$ such that for a.e $t \in [0, \infty)$ and every u such that $|u| \leq r$, we have $|f(t, u)| \leq \alpha_r(t)$.

Definition 2.3 Let X and Z be Banach Spaces. A continuous operator $T : X \cap \text{dom } T \rightarrow Z$ is called quasi-linear if and only if $\text{Im } T$ is a closed subset of Z and $\ker T$ is linearly homeomorphic to \mathfrak{R}^n .

Definition 2.4 Let X be a Banach space with $X_1 \subset X$ a subspace. A mapping $Q : X \rightarrow X_1$ is called a semi-projector if Q satisfies

- (i) $Q^2u = Qu, u \in X$.
- (ii) $Q(\lambda u) = \lambda Qu, u \in X, \lambda \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Definition 2.5 $N_\lambda : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Z, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ is said to be T -compact in $\overline{\Omega}$ if there exists a subspace $Z_1 \subset Z$ with $\dim Z_1 = \dim \ker T$ and an operator

$S : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ continuous and compact such that for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$(I - Q)N_\lambda(\overline{\Omega}) \subset \text{Im } T \subset (I - Q)Z \tag{3}$$

$$QN_\lambda u = 0, \lambda \in (0, 1) \text{ iff } QNu = 0, u \in \Omega \tag{4}$$

$$S(., 0) \text{ is the zero operator} \tag{5}$$

$$S(., \lambda) |_{A_\lambda} = (I - P) |_{A_\lambda} \text{ where } A_\lambda = \{u \in \Omega : Tu = N_\lambda u\} \tag{6}$$

$$T[P + S(., \lambda)] = (I - Q)N_\lambda \tag{7}$$

Where $P : X \rightarrow X$ is a projector and Q is a Semi-projector such that $\text{Im } P = \ker T$ and $\text{Im } Q = Z_1$

Theorem 1. [4] Let X and Z be two Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $\|\cdot\|_Z$ respectively and $\Omega \subset X$ be an open and bounded set.

Suppose $T : X \cap \text{dom } T \rightarrow Z$ is a quasi-linear operator and $N_\lambda : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Z, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ is T -Compact. In addition if

- (1) $Tu \neq N_\lambda u$, for $\lambda \in (0, 1), u \in \text{dom } T \cap \partial\Omega$.
- (2) $\text{deg} \{JQN, \Omega \cap \ker T, 0\} \neq 0$.

Where $J : \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \ker T$ is a homeomorphism with $J(\theta) = \theta$, where θ is the origin and $N_1 = N$. Then the abstract equation $Tu = Nu$ has at least one solution in $\overline{\Omega}$.

Let $AC[0, \infty)$ be the space of absolutely continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. We shall use the following spaces $X = \{u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \mid u, d\varphi_p(u'') \in AC[0, \infty), \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} |u^{(i)}(t)|$

$$\text{exists}, 0 \leq i \leq 2, (d\varphi_p(u''))' \in L^1[0, \infty) \text{ and } \varphi_p\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \in L^1[0, \infty)\} \tag{8}$$

With the norm

$$\|u\| = \max \left[\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} |u(t)|, \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} |u'(t)|, \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} |u''(t)| \right] \tag{9}$$

Then X is a Banach Space. We let $Z = L^1[0, \infty)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|y\|_1 = \int_0^\infty |y(t)| dt, y \in Z.$$

To prove the compactness of the operator T we use the following compactness criterion.

Theorem 2. [1] Let X be the space of all bounded continuous vector valued functions on $[0, \infty)$ and $V \subset X$. Then V is relatively compact in X if the following conditions hold:

- (i) V is bounded in X ;
- (ii) the functions from V are equicontinuous on any compact interval of $[0, \infty)$;
- (iii) the functions from V are equiconvergent at infinity.

We introduce the mapping $T : \text{dom } T \subset X \rightarrow Z$ defined by

$$Tu = (d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t)))', t \in [0, \infty) \tag{10}$$

where

$$\text{dom } T = \left\{ u \in X : u'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} u(t) dt, u(0) = 0, \right.$$

$$\left. \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t)) = 0 \right\}$$

We define the operator $N_\lambda : X \rightarrow Z$ by $N_\lambda u(t) = \lambda h(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t))$. Then (1.1) - (1.2) takes the form $Tu = N_\lambda u$ when $\lambda = 1$

Lemma 2.6 If $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \eta_i^2 = 2$ then

- (i) $\ker T = \{u \in \text{dom} T : u(t) = ct, c \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, \infty)\}$.
- (ii) $\text{Im} T = \{y \in Z : \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty y(\tau) d\tau\right) dr ds dt = 0\}$.
- (iii) $T : \text{dom} T \rightarrow Z$ is a quasi-linear operator.

proof: It is easily verified that (i) holds. To prove (ii), Let $y \in Z$ and consider the equation

$$(d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t)))' = y(t) \tag{11}$$

Then using (1.2) we obtain

$$d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t)) = - \int_t^\infty y(\tau) d\tau.$$

Thus

$$u''(t) = -\varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(t)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_t^\infty y(\tau) d\tau\right)$$

or

$$u(t) = - \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty y(\tau) d\tau\right) dr ds + tu'(0) \tag{12}$$

In view of (2) and $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \eta_i^2 = 2$ we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty y(\tau) d\tau\right) dr ds dt = 0 \tag{13}$$

if (11) holds, then

$u(t) = ct - \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty y(\tau) d\tau\right) dr ds$ is a solution of (11), where $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus

$$\text{Im} T = \left\{ y \in Z : \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty y(\tau) d\tau\right) dr ds dt = 0 \right\}$$

Hence, we have $\dim \ker T = 1 < \infty$, $\text{Im} T \subset Z$ is closed. Therefore, T is a quasi-linear operator.

Lemma 2.7 If h is a L^1 -Carathéodory function then $N_\lambda : \bar{V} \rightarrow Z$ is T -compact in \bar{V} for $V \subset X$ an open and bounded subset with the origin $\theta \in V$

Proof Define the continuous operator $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ by

$$Qy(t) = \rho(t) \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty y(\tau) d\tau\right) dr ds dt \tag{14}$$

where

$$\rho(t) = \frac{e^{-t}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{e^{-r}}{d(r)}\right) dr ds dt} \tag{15}$$

It is easily deduced that $Q^2 y = Qy$ and $Q(\lambda y) = \lambda Qy$ for $y \in Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, Q is a semi-projector with $\dim \ker T = \dim \text{Im} Q = 1$.

From the definition of Q we can derive (3), (4) and (5). To establish conditions (6) and (7), define

$$S(u, \lambda)(t) = - \int_0^t \int_0^s \left[\varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty \lambda(h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) - (Qh)(\tau)) d\tau\right) dr ds \right] \tag{16}$$

Let $P : X \rightarrow \ker T$ be defined by

$$Pu(t) = u'(0) \quad t, t \in [0, \infty). \tag{17}$$

For any $u \in A_\lambda = \{u \in \bar{V} : Tu = N_\lambda u\}$

$$\lambda h(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t)) = (d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t)))' \in \text{Im} T \subset \ker Q.$$

Thus,

$$S(u, \lambda)(t) = - \int_0^t \int_0^s \left[\varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)}\right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty \lambda(h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) - (Qh)(\tau)) d\tau\right) dr ds \right] = \int_0^t \int_0^s \left[\varphi_q \left(\frac{d(r)}{d(r)}\right) u''(r) dr ds \right] = \int_0^t \int_0^s u''(\tau) d\tau ds = u(t) - tu'(0) = (I - P)u(t). \tag{18}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & T [Pu + S(u, \lambda)](t) \\
 &= \left\{ d(t) \varphi_p [u'(0)t - \int_0^t \int_0^r \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(s)} \right) \varphi_q \right. \\
 & \left. \left(\int_s^\infty (\lambda h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) - \lambda(Qh)(\tau)) d\tau \right) dsdr \right\}' \\
 &= \left[-d(t) \varphi_p \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(t)} \right) \varphi_q \left(\int_t^\infty (\lambda h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \lambda(Qh)(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right]' \\
 &= \lambda h(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t)) - \lambda Qh(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t)) \\
 &= [(I - Q)N_\lambda(u)](t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

This verifies (6) and (7). Next, we show that S is relatively compact for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Let $V \subset X$ be bounded, that is there exists an $r > 0$ such that $r = \sup \{ \|u\| : u \in V \}$. Since $h : [0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is L^1 Carathèodory, there exists $\alpha_r \in L^1[0, \infty)$ such that for all $u \in V$ and a.e $t \in [0, \infty)$.

$$|h(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t))| \leq \alpha_r(t) \tag{20}$$

For $u \in V$

$$e^{-t} |S(u, \lambda)| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \varphi_q [\| \alpha_r \|_1 + \| Qh \|_1] \tag{21}$$

$$e^{-t} |S'(u, \lambda)| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \varphi_q [\| \alpha_r \|_1 + \| Qh \|_1]$$

$$= \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \varphi_q [\| \alpha_r \|_1 + \| Qh \|_1] \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{-t} |S''(u, \lambda)| &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_\infty \varphi_q [\| \alpha_r \|_1 + \| Qh \|_1] \\
 &= \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_\infty \varphi_q [\| \alpha_r \|_1 + \| Qh \|_1]
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Therefore, from (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|S(u, \lambda)\| &< \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1, \right. \\
 & \left. \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_\infty \right\} \varphi_q [\| \alpha_r \|_1 + \| Qh \|_1] \\
 &= \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} t, 1, \frac{\left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_\infty}{\left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1} \right\} \\
 & \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 [\varphi_q \| \alpha_r \|_1 + \| Qh \|_1] \\
 &= A_1 \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 [\varphi_q \| \alpha_r \|_1 + \| Qh \|_1] \\
 &= L_1
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$S(., \lambda)$ is therefore uniformly bounded in X . Now for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, B]$. $B \in (0, \infty)$ with $t_1 < t_2$, $u \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |e^{-t_2} S(u, \lambda)(t_2) - e^{-t_1} S(u, \lambda)(t_1)| \\
 &= \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [e^{-\tau} S(u, \lambda)(\tau)]' d\tau \right| \\
 &\leq 2(t_2 - t_1) \|S(u, \lambda)\| \\
 &\leq 2(t_2 - t_1) L_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |e^{-t_2} S'(u, \lambda)(t_2) - e^{-t_1} S'(u, \lambda)(t_1)| \\
 &= \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [e^{-\tau} S'(u, \lambda)(\tau)]' d\tau \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [-e^{-\tau} S'(u, \lambda)(\tau) + e^{-\tau} S''(u, \lambda)(\tau)] d\tau \right| \\
 &\leq 2(t_2 - t_1) \|S(u, \lambda)\| \\
 &\leq 2(t_2 - t_1) L_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |e^{-t_2} \varphi_p(S''(u, \lambda)(t_2) - e^{-t_1} \varphi_p(S''(u, \lambda))(t_1))| \\
 &= \left| \frac{-e^{-t_2}}{d(t_2)} \int_{t_2}^{\infty} \lambda [h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (Qh)(\tau)] d\tau \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{e^{-t_1}}{d(t_1)} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \lambda \left[h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - (Qh)(\tau) \right] d\tau \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \frac{e^{-t_2}}{d(t_2)} - \frac{e^{-t_1}}{d(t_1)} \right| \int_{t_2}^{\infty} |\lambda [h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) \\
 &\quad - (Qh)(\tau)]| d\tau \\
 &\quad + \frac{e^{-t_1}}{d(t_1)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\lambda [h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) \\
 &\quad - (Qh)(\tau)]| d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{d} \|\infty\|^2 |d(t_1)e^{-t_2} - d(t_2)e^{-t_1}| \int_{t_2}^{\infty} |\alpha_r(s) \\
 &\quad + |Qh|(s)| ds + \frac{1}{d} \|\infty\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\alpha_r(s) + Qh(s)| ds \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{d} \|\infty\|^2 |d(t_1)e^{-t_2} - d(t_2)e^{-t_1}| [\|\alpha_r\|_1 + \|Qh\|_1] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{d} \|\infty\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [\alpha_r(s) + |Qh|(s)] ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$|e^{-t_2} S''(u, \lambda)(t_2) - e^{-t_1} S''(u, \lambda)(t_1)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2$$

Therefore, $S(u, \lambda)(V)$ is equicontinuous on every compact subset of $[0, \infty)$.

Next, we establish that $S(., \lambda)(V)$ is equiconvergent at infinity.

For $u \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-t} |S(u, \lambda)(t)| \\
 &= e^{-t} \left| \int_0^t \int_0^s \left[\varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)} \right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^{\infty} \lambda [h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - (Qh)(\tau)] d\tau \right) \right] dr ds \right| \\
 &\leq e^{-t} t \|\varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right)\|_1 \varphi_q [\|\alpha_r\|_1 + \|Qh\|_1] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-t} |S'(u, \lambda)(t)| \\
 &= e^{-t} \left| \int_0^t \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(s)} \right) \varphi_q \left[\int_s^{\infty} \lambda (h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - (Qh)(\tau)) d\tau \right] ds \right| \\
 &\leq e^{-t} \|\varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right)\|_{\infty} \varphi_q [\|\alpha_r\|_1 + \|Qh\|_1] \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-t} |S''(u, \lambda)(t)| \\
 &= e^{-t} \left| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(t)} \right) \varphi_q \left[\int_t^{\infty} \lambda (h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - (Qh)(\tau)) d\tau \right] \right| \\
 &\leq e^{-t} \|\varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right)\|_{\infty} \varphi_q [\|\alpha_r\|_1 + \|Qh\|_1] \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $S(u, \lambda)(V)$ is equiconvergent at infinity. Since all the conditions of theorem 2.2 are satisfied, the set $S(u, \lambda)(V)$ is relatively compact. The continuity of the mapping $S(u, \lambda)$ follows from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

3 Main Result

Theorem 3. Let h be a L^1 - Carathéodory function. Assume that the following conditions hold.

- (A0) $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \eta_i^2 = 2, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{e^{-r}}{d(r)} \right) dr ds dt \neq 0.$
- (A1) There exists $M_1 > 0$ such that for $u \in \text{dom}T / \text{Ker}T$ satisfying $|u'(t)| > M_1$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ we have $QN_{\lambda} u \neq 0.$
- (A2) There exist positive functions $a_1, a_2, a_3, r \in L^1[0, \infty)$ such that.

$$\begin{aligned}
 |h(t, u_1, u_2, u_3)| \leq e^{-t(p-1)} & \left[a_1(t) |u_1|^{p-1} \right. \\
 & \left. + a_2(t) |u_2|^{p-1} + a_3(t) |u_3|^{p-1} \right] + r(t)
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

- (A3) There exists $M_2 > 0$ such that for every $c \in R$ with $|c| > M_2$ we have either

$$c \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)} \right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^{\infty} \lambda h(\tau, c\tau, c, 0) d\tau \right) dr ds dt > 0 \tag{26}$$

or

$$c \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)} \right) \varphi_q \left(\int_r^{\infty} \lambda h(\tau, c\tau, c, 0) d\tau \right) dr ds dt < 0. \tag{27}$$

Then the BVP (1)- (2) has at least one solution provided

$$2^{2(q-2)} \|\varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right)\|_1 A_1 \sum_{i=1}^3 \|a_i\|_1^{q-1} < 1 \text{ for } 1 < p < 2 \tag{28}$$

or

$$\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \|_1 A_1 \sum_{i=1}^3 \| a_i \|_1^{q-1} < 1 \text{ for } p \geq 2. \quad (29)$$

To prove theorem 3.1, we first derive some Lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Let

$$W_1 = \{ u \in \text{dom}T / \text{Ker}T : Tu = N_\lambda u \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0, 1] \}$$

Then W_1 is a bounded set.

proof: Let $u \in W_1$. Assume that $Tu = N_\lambda u$. Then $QN_\lambda u = 0$. Therefore, from (A1) there exists $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$$| u'(t_0) | \leq M_1. \quad (30)$$

Then

$$| u'(0) | = | u'(t_0) - \int_0^{t_0} u''(s) ds | \leq M_1 + \| u'' \|_1 \quad (31)$$

For $u \in W_1$, $(I - P)u \in \text{dom}T \cap \text{Ker}P$. Thus, from (18) and (24) we have,

$$\| (I - P)u \| = \| S(u, \lambda) \| < L_1$$

where L_1 is defined in (24).

From the definition of P we have

$$Pu(t) = u'(0)t, (Pu)'(t) = u'(0), t \in [0, \infty)$$

Hence, from (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| Pu \| &= \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} t | u'(0) |, | u'(0) | \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} t, 1 \right\} | u'(0) | < A_1 | u'(0) | \\ &< A_1 [M_1 + \| u'' \|_1] = A_1 \| u'' \|_1 + A_1 M_1 \quad (32) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| u \| &= \| Pu + (I - P)u \| \\ &\leq \| Pu \| + \| (I - P)u \| \\ &\leq \| u'' \|_1 A_1 + L_1 + M_1 A_1 \\ &= \| u'' \|_1 A_1 + L_2. \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

where $L_2 = L_1 + M_1 A_1$.

If $p < 2$ then from (12), (25) and Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \| u'' \|_1 &= \int_0^\infty \left| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(t)} \right) \varphi_q \left(\int_t^\infty \lambda h(\tau, u(\tau), u'(\tau), u''(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| dt \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \varphi_q [\| a_1 \|_1 \| u \|^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \| a_2 \|_1 \| u \|^{p-1} + \| a_3 \|_1 \| u \|^{p-1} + \| r \|_1] \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 2^{q-2} \varphi_q [\| a_1 \|_1 \| u \|^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \| a_2 \|_1 \| u \|^{p-1} + \| a_3 \|_1 \| u \|^{p-1} + \| r \|_1] \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 2^{2(q-2)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 \| a_i \|_1^{q-1} \| u \| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \| r \|_1^{q-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (28) and (33) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[1 - 2^{2(q-2)} \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \sum_{i=1}^3 \| a_i \|_1^{q-1} A_1 \right] \| u'' \|_1 \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 2^{2(q-2)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 \| a_i \|_1^{q-1} L_2 + \| r \|_1^{q-2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

From (28) we conclude that there exists $L_3 > 0$ such that

$$\| u'' \|_1 < L_3 \quad (34)$$

Therefore, from (33) we obtain

$$\| u \| < L_4, L_4 > 0. \quad (35)$$

Similarly, if $p \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \| u'' \|_1 &\leq \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 \| a_i \|_1^{q-1} \| u \| + \| r \|_1^{q-1} \right] \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 \| a_i \|_1^{q-1} [A_1 \| u'' \|_1 + L_2] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \| r \|_1^{q-1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 - \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \sum_{i=1}^3 \| a_i \|_1^{q-1} A_1 \right) \| u'' \|_1 \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 \| a_i \|_1^{q-1} L_2 + \| r \|_1^{q-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (29) we conclude that there exists $L_5 > 0$ such that

$$\| u'' \|_1 < L_5. \quad (36)$$

Using (33) we again obtain $L_6 > 0$ such that $\| u \| < L_6$. Therefore, W_1 is bounded.

Lemma 3.2 Let $W_2 = \{u \in \ker T : N_\lambda u \in \text{Im } T\}$. Then W_2 is bounded.

Proof: We have for $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [0, \infty), u(t) = ct$ and $N_\lambda u \in \text{Im } T$ implies $N_\lambda u \in \ker Q$.

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)} \right) \varphi_q \text{bigg} \left(\int_r^\infty h(\tau, c\tau, c, 0) d\tau \right) dr ds dt = 0.$$

By (A3) we obtain

$$|c| < M_2$$

Therefore, for $u \in W_2$

$$\|u\| = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} e^{-t} t, 1 \right\} |c| < A_1 M_2$$

We therefore conclude that W_2 is bounded.

Define $J : \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \ker T$ by

$$J(c\rho(t)) = ct \text{ or } J^{-1}(ct) = c\rho(t)$$

If (26) holds, let

$$W_3 = \{u \in \ker T : \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)JQN_\lambda u = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$$

Then

$$-\lambda J^{-1}u = (1 - \lambda)QN_\lambda u$$

or

$$-\lambda c\rho(t) = (1 - \lambda)\rho(t) \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)} \right) \times \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty h(\tau, c\tau, c, 0) d\tau \right) dr ds dt$$

if $\lambda = 1$ then $c = 0$ and if $|c| > M_2$ then from (26) we have

$$0 > -\lambda c^2 = (1 - \lambda)c \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d(r)} \right) \times \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty h(\tau, c\tau, c, 0) d\tau \right) dr ds dt > 0$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, W_3 is bounded. If (27) holds we set

$$W_3 = \{u \in \ker T : -\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)JQN_\lambda u = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$$

Using the same arguments as above we obtain that W_3 is bounded.

Let W be open and bounded such that $W_1 \cup W_2 \cup W_3 \subset W$.

Then from the above Lemmas, we can deduce that

$$Tu \neq N_\lambda u, (u, \lambda) \in [\text{dom } T \cap \partial W] \times (0, 1)$$

$$\text{Let } H(u, \lambda) = \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)JQN_\lambda u.$$

It is easily checked that $H(u, \lambda) \neq 0$ for $U \in \partial W \cap \ker T$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{deg}(JQN|_{\ker T}, W \cap \ker T, 0) &= \text{deg}(H(\cdot, 0), W \cap \ker T, 0) \\ &= \text{deg}(H(\cdot, 1), W \cap \ker T, 0) \\ &= \text{deg}(\pm I, W \cap \ker T, 0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From theorem 2.1 we can conclude that $Tu = Nu$ has a solution in $\text{dom } T \cap W$. Therefore, (1)- (2) has at least one solution.

4 Example

Consider the boundary value problem

$$[d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t))] ' = e^{-3t} \left[1 + \frac{|u(t)|^3}{4(1+t)^2} + \frac{|u'(t)|^3}{8(1+t)^3} + \cos^2 t \frac{|u''(t)|^3}{16(1+t)^4} \right] \quad (37)$$

$$u'(0) = \sum_1^2 \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} u(t) dt, u(0) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (d(t)\varphi_p(u''(t))) = 0 \quad (38)$$

Here,

$$d(t) = e^{3t}, p = 4, q = \frac{4}{3}, \beta_1 = 4, \beta_2 = 9, \eta_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \eta_2 = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$h(t, u, u', u'') =$$

$$e^{-3t} \left[1 + \frac{|u(t)|^3}{4(1+t)^2} + \frac{|u'(t)|^3}{8(1+t)^3} + \cos^2 t \frac{|u''|^3}{16(1+t)^4} \right]$$

$\sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i \eta_i^2 = 2$. It is easily checked that $h : [0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is an L^1 - Carathéodory function

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{e^{-r}}{e^{3r}} \right) dr ds dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-4r} dr ds dt \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Assumption(A0) is satisfied.

Clearly, $(h(t, x, y, z)) > 0$ for all $(t, x, y, z) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{R}^3$. Thus, $QN_\lambda u \neq 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ for all $u \in \text{dom } T / \ker T$.

Assumption (A1) is verified .

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t, u, u', u'')| &\leq e^{-3t} \left(1 + \frac{|u|^3}{4(1+t)^3} + \frac{|u'|^2}{8(1+t)^3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|u''|^3}{16(1+t)^4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$a_1(t) = \frac{1}{4(1+t)^2}, a_2(t) = \frac{1}{8(1+t)^3}, a_3(t) = \frac{1}{16(1+t)^4}$$

This verifies assumption (A2). To verify (A3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 4c \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{e^{3r}} \right) \\
 & \times \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty e^{-3\tau} \left[1 + \frac{|c\tau|^3}{4(1+\tau)^2} + \frac{|c|^3}{8(1+\tau)^3} \right] d\tau \right) dr ds dt \\
 & + 9c \int_0^{1/3} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{e^{3r}} \right) \\
 & \times \varphi_q \left(\int_r^\infty e^{-3\tau} \left[1 + \frac{|c\tau|^3}{4(1+\tau)^2} + \frac{|c|^3}{8(1+\tau)^3} \right] d\tau \right) dr ds dt \\
 & \leq 4c \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{\frac{1}{3}e^{-3r}}{e^{3r}} \right) dr ds dt \\
 & + 9c \int_0^{1/3} \int_0^t \int_0^s \varphi_q \left(\frac{1/3e^{-3r}}{e^{3r}} \right) dr ds dt = . \\
 & c \left[\frac{4}{3^{1/3}} \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-2r} dr ds dt + \frac{9}{3^{1/3}} \int_0^{1/3} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-2r} dr ds dt \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Assumption (26) or (27) are satisfied respectively if $c > 1$ or $c < -1$, i.e. if $|c| > 1$.

Finally, we have $\sum_{i=1}^3 \|a_i\|_1 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{48} = \frac{1}{3}$,

$$\left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 = 1, \left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_\infty = 1$$

Therefore, for $p \geq 2$, we have from (29)

$$\left\| \varphi_q \left(\frac{1}{d} \right) \right\|_1 \sum_{i=1}^3 \|a_i\|_1 A_1 = \frac{1}{3} < 1 \text{ where } A_1 = 1$$

Thus from theorem 3.1 we conclude that the BVP (37)-(38) has at least one solution.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers and Covenant University Centre for Research, Innovation and Discovery (CUCRID) for sponsoring this research.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D.O' Regan, *Infinite interval problems for differential, difference and integral equations*, Kluwer Academic, (2001).
- [2] I. Cabada, T. Pousoin, Existence results for problem $(\phi(u'))' = f(t, u, u')$ with nonlinear boundary value conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **35**, 221–231 (1999).
- [3] C.P Gupta, A non-resonant multipoint boundary value problem for a p -Laplacian type operator, *Electron J. Differential Equation Conference* **10**, 143–152 (2003).
- [4] W. Ge and J. Ren, An extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem and its application to boundary value problems with a p -laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **58**, 477–488 (2004).
- [5] W. Ge, *Boundary problems for ordinary differential equations*, Science Press, Beijing, (2007).
- [6] S. A Iyase, On a third- order boundary value problem at resonance on the half-line, *Arab. J. Math.*, **8**, 43–53 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40065-018-0209-5>
- [7] C.G Kim, Solvability of multipoint boundary value problems on the half-line, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **5**, 27-33 (2012).
- [8] Y. J. Liu, Nonhomogeneous boundary -value problems of higher order differential equations with p -Laplacian, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, **20**, 1-43 (2008).
- [9] Y. Liu and W. Ge, Solvability of multipoint boundary value problems for higher order differential equations, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, **120**, 1- 19 (2003).
- [10] R. Ma, Positive solutions for multipoint boundary value problem with a one-dimensional p - Laplacian, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **42**, 755-765 (2001).
- [11] R. Ma, L. Zhang and R. Liu, Existence results for nonlinear problems with ϕ - Laplacian, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **22**, 1-7 (2015).
- [12] H.Pang, W. Ge and M. Tian, Solvability of nonlocal boundary value problem for ordinary differential equation of higher order with a p -Laplacian, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **56**,127-142 (2008).
- [13] A J. Yang and W. Ge, Existence of symmetric solutions for fourth order multipoint boundary value problem with a p -Laplacian at resonance, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **29**, 301-309 (2009).
- [14] A. J. Yang, W. Ge, Existence of Symmetric solutions for fourth- order multipoint boundary value problem with a p -Laplacian at resonance, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **29**, 301-309 (2009).
- [15] A. Yang, C. Miao and W. Ge, Solvability for second -order nonlocal boundary value problems with a p -Laplacian at resonance on half-line, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **19**, 1-15 (2009).
- [16] L. Zhang, M. Feng and W. Ge, Symmetric positive solutions for p -Laplacian fourth-order differential equations with integral boundary conditions, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **222**, 561-573 (2008).



Samuel Iyase is a professor of Mathematics at Covenant University, Ota Nigeria. He attended the University of Ibadan where he obtained the B.Sc (Mathematics) with 2nd Class Upper Division in 1977. He started his working

experience as a lecturer at the college of Education Abraka. He later went back to the University of Ibadan where he obtained the M.Sc (Maths) in 1981 and MBA in 1983. In 1995, he obtained the PhD degree from the University of Ibadan. His research interests are in the areas of existence of solutions of ordinary differential equations, boundary value problems, fractional differential equations, and stability of ordinary differential equations. Professor Iyase has published in numerous International and Local Journals. He is happily married with children.



Sheila Bishop is currently a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Mathematics, University of Lagos, Nigeria. She has a bachelor degree (BSc), Master degree (MSc), and a doctoral degree (PhD) in Pure and Applied Mathematics from the University of

Benin, University of Ibadan, and Covenant University respectively. She has over twelve years of teaching experience in mathematics and leadership. She became a Senior Lecturer in 2016 and Head, Department of Mathematics, Covenant University from September 2017 to August 2019 with remarkable achievements. She has been a recipient of several grants at local and international levels. Her research interest is in Stochastic Analysis and Applications, Ordinary differential equations, and Mathematical statistics. Some of her research activities in differential equations cover both qualitative and quantitative analysis of solutions. She is a reviewer and editor of some international journals, authored and co-authored an impressive number of outstanding research articles and book chapters published in reputable international journals. Such Journals include the well-known Journal of Analysis and Mathematical Physics and Stochastic Analysis.